

About OMICS Group

OMICS Group International is an amalgamation of <u>Open Access publications</u> and worldwide international science conferences and events. Established in the year 2007 with the sole aim of making the information on Sciences and technology 'Open Access', OMICS Group publishes 400 online open access <u>scholarly journals</u> in all aspects of Science, Engineering, Management and Technology journals. OMICS Group has been instrumental in taking the knowledge on Science & technology to the doorsteps of ordinary men and women. Research Scholars, Students, Libraries, Educational Institutions, Research centers and the industry are main stakeholders that benefitted greatly from this knowledge dissemination. OMICS Group also organizes 300 <u>International conferences</u> annually across the globe, where knowledge transfer takes place through debates, round table discussions, poster presentations, workshops, symposia and exhibitions.

About OMICS Group Conferences

OMICS Group International is a pioneer and leading science event organizer, which publishes around 400 open access journals and conducts over 300 Medical, Clinical, Engineering, Life Sciences, Pharma scientific conferences all over the globe annually with the support of more than 1000 scientific associations and 30,000 editorial board members and 3.5 million followers to its credit.

Internationa

OMICS Group has organized 500 conferences, workshops and national symposiums across the major cities including San Francisco, Las Vegas, San Antonio, Omaha, Orlando, Raleigh, Santa Clara, Chicago, Philadelphia, Baltimore, United Kingdom, Valencia, Dubai, Beijing, Hyderabad, Bengaluru and Mumbai.













Ph.D. Student: Veronel-George JACOTA

EVALUATION OF DISSIPATED ENERGY BY THE CAR DAMPERS

Scientific coordinator : Prof. univ. dr. eng. Eugen Mihai NEGRUS

Valencia, 2015













SUMMARY

1. ACTUAL SITUATION OF FUEL CONSUMPTION REDUCTION 2. SIMULATION PARAMETERS

- 2.1 The road profile
- **2.2 The car parameters**
- **2.3 The simulation conditions**
- **3. MATHEMATICAL MODEL**
- **4. SIMULINK MODEL**
- **5. RESULTS**
- **6. VEHICLE VIBRATION EFFECTS ON THE HUMAN BODY**
- **7. CONCLUSIONS**













1. Actual situation of fuel consumption reduction

I. Thermal engines modifications

- direct injection: 8% 20%
- ➤ variable compression ratio & downsizing : 8% 30%
- multi valve engine: 5% 10%
- ➤ variable valve timing : 5% 8%
- cylinder suspending: 5% 15%
- Reconception the combustion chamber, limiting the compression ratio, injectors control, the mixture formation and combustion

➢ fuel consumption economy : 5% ↑

II. Optimisation the aerodynamics

modiffication the habitacle
modification the car design

Achievements in the field of energy recovery

III. Automated transmissions and hybrid traction

fuel consumption economy (NEDC cycle): 15%

➤ price

internal management of available energy optimisation the recovery of braking energy

V. Car weight reduced

- > fuel consumption economy (NEDC cycle): 2% 9%
- subcomponents car price: 50% 130%

IV. Reduce rolling resistance tyres

- ➢ fuel consumption economy : 6%
- \succ modification the conduit car









Instrumente Structur 2007-2013





2.1 Road profile



Road macrostructure





Road microstructure



8 macrostructural road profiles with maximum speed between 25Km/h – 120Km/h

27 road profiles

120 Km/h	х			
100 Km/h	х	Х		
80 Km/h	х	х	х	х
60 Km/h	х	х	х	х
50 Km/h	х	х	х	х
40 Km/h	х	х	х	х
30 Km/h	х	х	х	х
25 Km/h	х	х	х	х
	ISO A-B	ISO B-C	ISO C-D	ISO D-E



4 microstructural road profiles

ISO A-B ($\Delta h = \pm 15 \text{ mm}$) ISO B-C ($\Delta h = \pm 25 \text{ mm}$) ISO C-D ($\Delta h = \pm 50 \text{ mm}$) ISO D-E ($\Delta h = \pm 100 \text{ mm}$)









2.2 Car parameters

Parameters used in the car simulation have been chosen as the average values of middle-class cars, in two situations:



unladed weight: $m_0 = 1100 \text{ kg}$ wheelbase:L = 2600 mmthe ratio: $a_0 / L = 0.45$ the ratio: $b_0 / L = 0.55$



total weight $m_1 = 1600 \text{ kg}$ wheelbaseL = 2600 mmthe ratioa1 / L = 0.55the ratiob1 / L = 0.45











2.3 The simulation conditions

simulation performed in two conditions,
the car's unladed weight and with total weight



> the cross profile of the lane road is symmetrical



> straight displacement at a constant speed



all the road profiles used in simulation have a length of 1 km















3. Mathematical model





The suspension itself includes:

- the spring (ks)
- the damper (cs)
- the sprung mass (m)

The tire was defined as an independent suspension with the same elements

- the spring (*kt*)
- the damper (*ct*)
- the unsprung mass (m)















4. Simulink model

















5. Results





Percentage of energy dissipated by the dampers, in relation to the energy consumed by the engine car with unladed/ total weight, to cover the distance of 1 km

(the car has tires rolling resistance coefficient f = 0.008, the drag coefficient $c_x = 0.28$ and the frontal area $A_x = 2 \text{ m}^2$)









6. Vehicle vibration effects on the human body



Fluctuation of acceleration effects on human body

Oscillation frequency [Hz]	Human sense that depends on oscillation acceleration [m/s ²]		
	unpleasant	painful	
60	2.3	2.7	
90	2.1	2.5	
120	1.9	2.3	
180	1.7	2.0	

$m_0 = 1100 \text{ kg}$







 $m_1 = 1600 \text{ kg}$

















7. Conclusions

- the simulation of system suspension shows a relation between the energy dissipated by the damping car and vehicle and road profile properties.
- among the properties of the car, it results that the mass of the car (m), the suspension spring (k_s) and the suspension damping (c_s) contribute most to the percentage of energy dissipation. An increase of mass vehicle and damping coefficient, corroborated with a decrease of spring rate, will produce a higher energy dissipation for the dampers.
- the road profile subcomponent who have the biggest influence on the suspension excitation is the microstructure. The macrostructure has an important role only if the road profile speeds is below 60 km/h.
- the macrostructure profiles of road categories with maximum speeds between 25 km/h 60 km/h and microstructures profiles of road categories ISO C-D and ISO D-E contributes to increased suspension load.









2007-2013





Thank you for your attention !

The work has been funded by the Sectorial Operational Programme Human Resources Development 2007-2013 of the Ministry of European Funds through the Financial Agreement POSDRU 187/1.5/S/155420.

Veronel-George JACOTA 01.09.2015









Annex 1 : Macrostructure road profile



Road profile speed [Km/h]	α[°]	Rconvex [m]	Rconcav [m]
25	8	500	300
30	7,5	800	500
40	7	1000	1000
50	7	1300	1000
60	6,5	1600	1500
80	6	4500	2200
100	5	10000	3000
120	5	18000	6500



Road profile speed [Km/h]	H [m]	h [m]	D [m]	d [m]
25	1.6	0.9	80	48
30	2.2	1.4	120	75
40	2.4	2.4	140	140
50	3.1	2.4	181	140
60	3.3	3.2	207	196
80	8.1	3.9	538	224
100	7.1	2.1	748	263
120	12.2	4.5	1330	480







Fondul Social European

POSDRU 2007-2013







Annex 2: Microstructure road profile

Annex 3: the car parameters

- Unsprung mass, corresponding to the front axle, $m_{S1} = 46 \text{ kg}$
- Unsprung mass, corresponding to the rear axle, $m_{S2} = 46 \text{ kg}$
- Sprung mass, corresponding to the front axle (for unladed car weight), $m_1 = 605$ kg;
- Sprung mass, corresponding to the rear axle (for unladed car weight), $m_2 = 495$ kg;
- Sprung mass, corresponding to the front axle (for total car mass), $m_{a1} = 720$ kg;
- Sprung mass, corresponding to the rear axle (for total car mass), $m_{a2} = 880$ kg;
- Front suspension spring rate (for one spring): $k_{s1} = 23 929 \text{ N/m}$
- Rear suspension spring rate (for one spring): $k_{S2} = 28500 \text{ N/m}$
- Front suspension damping (for one damper) $c_{S1} = 1712 \text{ N} \cdot \text{s/m}$
- Rear suspension damping (for one damper): $c_{s2} = 1725 \text{ N} \cdot \text{s/m}$
- Tire stiffness front axle (for one tire): $k_{t1} = 165000 \text{ N/m}$
- Tire stiffness rear axle (for one tire): $k_{t2} = 165000 \text{ N/m}$
- Tire damping front axle (for one tire): $c_{t1} = 3430 \text{ N} \cdot \text{s/m}$
- Tire damping rear axle (for one tire): $c_{t2} = 3430 \text{ N} \cdot \text{s/m}$
- Front suspension excitation: X_{r1} -depending on road profile;
- Rear suspension excitation: X_{r2} depending on road profile.

Annex 5: the dissipated energy value [J]

	ISO A-B	ISO B-C	ISO C-D	ISO D-E
25 Km/h	8877	8011	8444	8852
30 Km/h	8610	8491	9920	9905
40 Km/h	6567	6151	8198	8519
50 Km/h	6525	6322	7956	7905
60 Km/h	5914	5954	6755	8125
80 Km/h	5351	5341	6290	6771
100 Km/h	4222	3792	-	-
120 Km/h	3062	-	-	-

The dampers dissipated energy, corresponding to unladed car weight

	ISO A-B	ISO B-C	ISO C-D	ISO D-E
25 Km/h	13930	12380	14760	13650
30 Km/h	13000	12730	15000	15490
40 Km/h	9328	9577	12240	12880
50 Km/h	9363	9482	11960	11670
60 Km/h	8502	8339	9830	11300
80 Km/h	7592	7323	8855	9553
100 Km/h	5735	5449	-	-
120 Km/h	4297	-	-	-

The dampers dissipated energy, corresponding to total car weight

