Application of tactile/kinesthetic stimulation in preterm infants: a systematic review

4th International Conference on Nursing & Healthcare San Francisco –USA October 05-07, 2015

Vanessa Pepino J Pediatr (Rio J). 2015; 91(3):213-22



About me

✓ Physical Therapist (2002)

✓Osteopathy (2007)

Enhancing Manipulative Skills – Dra Viola Frymann (2007)

✓ Private practice with babies

The first little baby

✓ Born prem

✓ After nc conside

Mother: "Now what? And the children who are in the NICU? Vain all do surgery?"

al reflux

arted to

Literature review

Resume

Objective
 Method
 Inclusion criteria
 Results
 Conclusion

Introduction

TKS has been studied as an aid to standard treatment



Growth and Development minimizing stress

Benefits

- ✓ Increased weight gain 3,6-10,14-37,39
- Reduced length of hospital stay 10,16,17,20,21,23,24,28,31,32,35,37,39
- ✓ Reduced stress behaviors[™]
- ✓ Improved neurobehavioral responses 6-8,10,14,19-23,25,26,36,39
- ✓ Reduced Late-onset sepsis ¹⁶
- ✓ Effect on the immune system ³²

THERE IS A POTENCIAL FOR SEVERAL BENEFITS THROUGH THIS TECHNIQUE

The Technique

✓ Simple procedure
✓ Low cost
✓ Non-invasive



Still need more studies

Objective

To verify the methods used by the clinical trials that assessed the effect of tactile/ kinesthetic stimulation on weight gain in preterm infants and highlight the similarities and differences among such studies

Method

Systematic Review

2 databases: PEDro and PubMed (July-2014)

1)Title
 2)Abstract
 3)Texts

BIBLIOGRAPHIES

(thoroughly read to select those that met the inclusion criteria)

Method

PubMed: 6 keywords combinations

- Search 1: massage premature newborn
- Search 2: tactile kinesthetic stimulation premature
- Search 3: tactile stimulation premature
- Search 4: massage premature growth
- Search 5: kinesthetic stimulation premature growth
- Search 6: tactile kinesthetic stimulation premature growth

Inclusion Criteria

 Clinical trials TS or massage therapy whether or not associated with KS of PI

✓ That assessed weight gain after the intervention

✓ Control group

✓ Were composed in English, Portuguese, or Spanish

Title/Aut hor/ Year/Dat abase	Sample Size	Objectives and starting conditions	Description of the technique	Main variables	Measured weight gain	Description of adverse events during the procedure	Results achieved statistical significance
Massage therapy improves neurodeve lopment outcome at two years corrected age for very low birth weight infants36 Procianoy et al., 2010 PubMed searches 1, 4	Total: 73 TG: 35 GAM= 30 w BWM= 1192g CG: 38 GAM= 29.7w BWM= 1151g both groups Skin- skin care	Assess the outcome of MT growth and neurodevelop ment of PI assessed at 2 years corrected age. Beginning after 48 h of life.	MT applied only by mothers, 4x/day for 15 min, intervals of 6 hours of TS: temporal, frontal, periorbital, nasal, and perilabial regions of the face and the external side of the upper and lower limbs + KS (3x each: wrist, elbow, ankle, and knee)	1)Anthrop ometric 2) Bayley scales of infant developm ent, second edition (BSID-II) Measured at 2 years of corrected age	Body weight taken with normal nursery routine	Mothers of the TG were instructed to observe the newborns' tolerance signs, avoiding excessive stimulations.	2) TG: Greater mental development index (p = 0.035)

Results



Tactile Kinesthetic Stimulation

FASE 1

- Tactile stimulation
- Prono position

FASE 2

- Kinesthetic stimulation
- Supine position

FASE 3

- Tactile stimulation
- Prono position









20 of the 31 studies_{3,10,14,15,17-19,21-32,34} described a SIGNIFICANTLY BENEFIT ON WEIGHTT GAIN in the PI group that received the TS/TKS

Correlations faster weight gain and TKS

✓ Increase in insulin levels and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) ∞

✓ Greater vagal stimulation₂₀₂₀

✓ Greater gastric activity ₂₀₂₀

 \checkmark More relaxed \rightarrow Lower heart rates \rightarrow Lower energy

expenditure \rightarrow Faster weight gain

Older studies: did not specify

✓Which parts of the body were stimulated or how often

 The pressure used during the intervention and its duration

- White & Labarba (1976) were the first to combine TS and KS
- In 1981, Rausch divided TKS into 3 phases of 5 min but applied TKS only when the PI were awake, without changing their position in the incubator
- Scafidi et al standardized the three 5 min phases into prone TS + supine KS + prone TS

- Some studies used some type of oil to reduce friction on the PI's skin^{14,17,33-35}
- Ferber et al¹⁵ suggested that during the first 10s of TS, the caregiver should only rest his hand on the PI, avoiding movements
- Dieter et al²⁷ was the first to provide TKS for only 5 days

- Diego et ale show that moderate pressure promoted better outcomes than the group who received light pressure
- Also, in another time, trained a few therapists and suggested that the technique was effective, regardless of therapist
- Massaro et al^{a1} tested TKS and TS separately in different groups of infants and found that TKS appears to be better

Adverse Events

INTERRUPT THE PROCEDURE

- ✓ Stress or uninterrupted crying for more than 60s²⁶
- ✓ Defecation₁₄
- ✓ Increased heart rate >200^{19,27}
- ✓ Decrease heart rate <100 for 12s^{19,27}
- Oxygen Saturation level less than 90% for more than 30s¹⁹

Adverse Events

Some authors considered some signs in the 24 hours that preceded the intervention to suspend the procedure

- ✓ Fussing
- ✓ Vomiting
- ✓ Growing oxygen demand
- ✓ Frequent episodes of apnea
- ✓ Bradycardia
- ✓ Desaturation
- ✓ Interventions conducted within the 30min that preceded TKS, such as *sight and hearing tests*[™]

Most of the studies did not provide a detailed description of how to proceed during the stimulation if adverse events occur, nor which are and neither of the possible effects of these events on the outcomes

Adverse Events





