TREATMENT ADHERENCE AMONG PATIENTS UNDERGOING HEMODIALYSIS: scenario in Nepal

Presentation By:

Ms. Niru Khatiwada

BSc Nursing (BPKIHS), MN (IOM, TU)

Lecturer, Nepal Medical College

(Affiliated to Kathmandu University)

Kathmandu, Nepal

OVERVIEW

OVERVIEW

- □ Chronic Kidney Disease: a major complication of Diabetes Mellitus (DM)
- □ 30% of Type 1 DM patients and 10-40% of type 2 DM patients develop renal failure (National Kidney Foundation, 2015)
- Hemodialysis: The most common method of management of renal failure in developing countries like Nepal.
- Treatment Adherence is the crucial factor in determining the morbidity and mortality of hemodialysis patients
- □ Four important domains: Hemodialysis Schedule, Fluid Restriction, Diet Restriction and Medicine Adherence

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- □ To assess the treatment adherence (hemodialysis schedule, fluid restriction, dietary restriction and medicine adherence) among the patients undergoing hemodialysis.
- To find out the association between selected demographic variables and adherence among the patients undergoing hemodialysis.
- □ To assess the clinical measures of non-adherence in the patients undergoing hemodialysis.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

- Descriptive Cross sectional study
- Quantitative Study Method

Research Setting

National Kidney Center, Kathmandu, Nepal

Study Population

Clients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis

Sample

Those clients attending NKC for hemodialysis and meeting eligibility criteria during the data collection period.

Sample Size

Sample size calculated for the study was **166** taking the following values:

- □ two sided confidence level=95 percent
- \Box d= 8%= 0.08 (allowable error)
- □ Prevalence of treatment adherence: 58.8% (Paudel & Gurung, 2009)

Sampling

Purposive Sampling Technique

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- □ Patients undergoing hemodialysis for less than 3 months.
- □ Patients below the age of 18 years.
- □ Those who had been admitted for treatment within last 3 months.
- □ Those who were sick and not able to participate.
- □ Those who weren't willing to participate voluntarily.
- Those who had the history of renal transplantation.

RESEARCH INSTRUMENT

- Semi structured interview based questionnaire was developed based on the review of literature and consultation with experts.
- □ The questionnaire consisted of the following parts:
 - Section A: Demographic Characteristics and General & Clinical Information
 - Section B: Questions related to Hemodialysis Schedule
 - Section C: Questions related to Dietary Restriction
 - Section D: Questions related to Fluid Restriction
 - Section E: Questions related to Medicine adherence
 - Section F: Clinical Information (IDWG, serum potassium, serum phosphorus and co morbid diseases)

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

- Ethical clearance was obtained from Institutional Review Board, IOM after the proposal was approved by the Research Committee, Maharajgunj Nursing Campus.
- Written permission was obtained from National Kidney Center, Kathmandu.
- □ Informed consent was obtained from each respondent before the collection of data.

Contd...

- □ Clients undergoing HD were interviewed during their HD session and immediately stopped if any complications aroused.
- Confidentiality was maintained by coding the filled questionnaires.
- □ Repetition of respondents was avoided by noting the names and patient number in a separate sheet.
- □ Respondents found to be non adherent to treatment were informed about the importance of adherence.

HD SCHEDULE

Adherent

- □ Not skipped hemodialysis in last one month
- □ Shortened hemodialysis session due to medical reasons like cramps, low BP, vomiting and headache.

Non Adherent

- □ Hemodialysis session skipped at least one session.
- □ Shortening of hemodialysis >10 minutes for at least 1 session or shortening ≥10 minutes for more than 1 session in last one month

DIET AND FLUID RESTRICTION

□ Adherent - Respondents who always followed the dietary/fluid recommendations (all of the time) in last one week.

MEDICINE ADHERENCE

 Adherent - Respondents who did not miss any dose of medicine in last one week.

CLINICAL MEASURES OF NON-ADHERENCE

Clinical Measures	Acceptable	Not acceptable
IDWG (Interdialytic weight gain)	≤5.7% of dry weight	>5.7% of dry weight
Serum Potassium	≤6.0 mEq/L	>6.0 mEq/L
Serum Phosphorus	≤4.8 mg/dL	>4.8 mg/dL

MAJOR FINDINGS

Socio-demographic Variables of the Respondents

4 E

n	=	1	6	6

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage	
Age group (in years)			
below 20	2	1.2	
20-39	63	38.0	
40-59	59	35.5	
above 60	42	25.3	
Mean \pm SD: 46.73 \pm 16.62			
Range: 18-84			
Sex			
Male	125	75.3	
Female	41	24.7	
Residence			
Inside valley	74	44.6	
Outside valley	92	55.4	

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Educational Status		
Illiterate	19	11.4
Can read and write	33	19.9
Primary Level	26	15.7
Secondary Level	40	24.1
Higher Secondary Level and above	48	28.9
Marital Status		
Married	134	80.7
Unmarried	23	13.9
Widow/ Widower	8	4.8
Divorced/ Separated	1	0.6
Current Employment Status		
Unemployed	121	72.9
Employed	30	18.1
Retired	15	9.0

10,000-30,000

more than 30,000

Range: 2,000-80,000

Ancestral property

Yes

No

Loan

Donation)

Mean \pm SD: 28,147.59 \pm 17,101.06

Financial help from others

Adequacy of monthly income for HD treatment

Others (Agriculture, Pension, Foreign employment,

If no, other sources for expenditure (n=77)

n=166

14.5

28.3

57.2

53.6

46.4

36.4

28.5

27.3

7.8

1	7

TABLE 2	
Accessibility to Hemodialysis treatn	nent
17 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Freque
Monthly expenditure for hemodialysis (In Rupees)	
less than 10,000	24

Percentage quency

47

95

89

77

28

22

21

6

TABLE 2 contd..

	Frequency	Percentage
Transportation to come to HD center		
Bus	92	55.4
Taxi	28	16.9
Private transport	27	16.3
By walk	19	11.4
Time to reach the HD center		
less than 30 min	100	60.2
31 to 60 min	44	26.5
61 to 120 min	18	10.8
more than 120 min	4	2.4
Companion to come to the center		
Myself	65	39.2
Spouse	42	25.3
Son/ Daughter	36	21.7
Brother/Sister	9	5.4
Mother/Father	7	4.2
Others (Friends, Personal Assistant, Driver)	7	4.2

		n=166
Information of HD treatment	Frequency	Percentage
Duration of HD treatment		
below 12 months	50	30.1
12 to 36 months	65	39.2
36 to 60 months	30	18.1
more than 60 months	21	12.7
Mean \pm SD: 27.36 \pm 23.72		
Range: 3-120 months		
Frequency of HD in a week		
1 day	6	3.6
2 days	143	86.1
3 days	17	10.2
Treatment time in a HD session		
3 hours	8	4.8
3 and half hours	16	9.6
4 hours	142	85.5

Domains of Adherence	Adherers	Non adherers
Hemodialysis Schedule	122 (73.5%)	44 (26.5%)
Diet restriction	54 (32.5%)	112 (67.5%)
Fluid restriction	66 (39.8%)	100 (60.2%)
Medication adherence	131 (78.9%)	35 (21.1%)

Duration of HD

Accessibility Factors

less than 12 months

more than 60 months

Time to reach the center

More than 30 minutes

Type of Transportation

Pearson Chi Square (χ2) Test

30 minutes and less

Companion to come to the center

12 to 36 months

37 to 60 months

Companion

Alone

Private

Public

By walk

HD Attendance

Adherent

30 (60.0)

54 (83.1)

22 (73.3)

16 (76.2)

80 (79.2)

42 (64.6)

55 (83.3)

67 (67.0)

21 (77.8)

93 (77.5)

8 (42.1)

Non

adherent

20 (40.0)

11 (16.9)

8 (26.7)

5 (23.8)

21 (20.8)

23 (35.4)

11 (16.7)

33 (33.0)

6 (22.2)

27 (22.5)

11 (57.9)

*: p value significant at ≤0.05 level

χ2

Value

7.817

4.323

5.445

10.853

Total

50

65

30

21

101

65

66

100

27

120

19

p-

value

0.050*

0.038*

0.020*

0.004*

Ref

Ref

Ref

Ref

n=166

OR

(95% CI)

0.469 (0.148-1.484)

1.534 (0.464-5.069)

0.859 (0.237-3.121)

2.086 (1.036-4.199)

2.463 (1.140-5.319)

4.812 (1.331-17.405)

4.736 (1.731-12.958)

TABLE 5 Association of HD Schedule and HD accessibility factors

TABLE 6

Duration of HD

less than 12 months

more than 60 months

Treatment Expenditure

12 to 36 months

37 to 60 months

Adequate

Not adequate

Association of Fluid Restriction and Socio

n = 166

OR

(95% CI)

2.304 (1.053-5.038)

5.409 (1.591-18.395)

2.327 (0.700-7.743)

2.461 (0.658-9.195)

2.195 (1.156-4.167)

22

Ref

Ref

Ref

0.017*

0.015*

Demographic Variables						
Casia Dama ananhia	Fluid Re	striction		2		
Socio Demographic Variables	Adherent	Non adherent	Total	χ2 Value	p- value	
Marital Status						
Others	18 (56.2)	14 (43.8)	32	4 501	0.034*	
Married	48 (35.8)	86 (64.2)	134	4.501	0.034*	

11 (36.7) 19 (63.3)

4 (19.0)

43 (48.3)

23 (29.9)

50

65

30

21

89

77

42 (64.6)

17 (81.0)

46 (51.7)

54 (70.1)

28 (56.0) 22 (44.0) 23 (35.4)

Pearson Chi Square (γ2) Test b: Likelihood Ratio

*: p value significant at ≤0.05 level

5.864

10.165^b

TABLE 7

Association of Medicine Adherence and Socio

Demographic Variables

n=166

Socio Demographic	Medicine Adherence		Total	χ2	р-	OR	
Variables	Adherent	Non adherent	Total	Value	value	(95% CI)	
Age							
>47	67 (87.0)	10 (13.0)	77	5.659	0.017*	2.617 (1.165-5.880)	
≤47	64 (71.9)	25 (28.1)	89	3.039	0.017*	Ref	
Residence							
Outside Valley	78 (84.8)	14 (15.2)	92	4.260	0.039*	2.208 (1.031-4.725)	
Inside Valley	53 (71.6)	21 (28.4)	74	4.269	0.039*	Ref	
Duration of HD							
less than 12 months	44 (88.0)	6 (12.0)	50			Ref	
12 to 36 months	51 (78.5)	14 (21.5)	65	0.400	8.492 0.037*	5.500 (1.633-18.523)	
37 to 60 months	24 (80.0)	6 (20.0)	30	8.492		2.732 (0.959-7.786)	
more than 60 months	12 (57.1)	9 (42.9)	21			3.000 (0.865-10.407)	
Pearson Chi Square (χ2) Test *: p value significant at ≤0.05 level					23		

TABLE 8 Association of IDWG and Socio Demographic **Variables**

Socio Demographic	IDV	WG	- Total	χ2	р-	OR
Variables	≤5.7%	>5.7%	Total	Value	value	(95% CI)
Age						
>47	49 (64.5)	27 (35.5)	76	10 272	0.001*	2.800 (1.486-5.277)
≤47	35 (39.3)	54 (60.7)	89	10.575	0.001	Ref
Religion						
Hindu	69 (55.6)	55 (44.4)	124	4.479	0.034*	2.175 (1.050-4.501)
Others	15 (36.6)	26 (63.4)	41	4.479	0.034	Ref
Ethnicity						
Advantaged Group	62 (57.9)	45 (42.1)	107			2 255 (1 171 4 220)
Disadvantaged	22 (27 0)	26 (62.1)	5 0	6.028	0.014*	2.255 (1.171-4.339)
Group	22 (37.9)	36 (62.1)	58			Ref

n=165

Pearson Chi Square (χ2) Test *: p value significant at ≤0.05 level

TABLE 8 contd..

Socio Demographic	IDV	WG	Total	χ2	n volue	OR
Variables	≤5.7%	>5.7%	Iotai	Value	p- value	(95% CI)
Hepatitis C infection	1					
Yes	22 (68.8)	10 (31.2)	32	6.139	0.013*	2.759 (1.213-6.278)
No	59 (44.4)	74 (55.6)	133	0.139	0.013	Ref
Diabetes Mellitus						
Yes	25 (69.4)	11 (30.6)	36	6.330	0.012*	2.696 (1.225-5.937)
No	59 (45.7)	70 (54.3)	129	0.330	0.012	Ref
Duration of HD						
less than 12 months	15 (30.0)	35 (70.0)	50			2.897 (1.331-6.305)
12 to 36 months	36 (55.4)	29 (44.6)	65	11.347	0.010*	2.872 (1.111-7.421)
37 to 60 months	16 (55.2)	13 (44.8)	29	11.347	0.010	4.667 (1.568-13.886)
more than 60 months	14 (66.7)	7 (33.3)	21			Ref

Pearson Chi Square (χ2) Test *: p value significant at ≤0.05 level

TABLE 9
Association of IDWG and Fluid Restriction

n=165

Fluid	IDV	WG	Total	χ2	p-	OR
Restriction	<5.7%	>5.7%	Total	Value	value	(95% CI)
Adherent	41 (63.1)	24 (36.9)	65	6.354	0.012*	2.265 (1.193-4.298)
Non adherent	43 (43.0)	57 (57.0)	100	0.334	0.012	Ref

Pearson Chi Square (χ2) Test

^{*:} p value significant at ≤0.05 level

CONCLUSION

- About three fourth of the respondents were adherent to hemodialysis schedule and medicine whereas only about one third of them were adherent to fluid restriction and diet restriction.
- It has been identified that duration of HD, hemodialysis accessibility factors influenced adherence to hemodialysis schedule; marital status, duration of HD and adequacy for treatment expenditure influenced fluid restriction whereas counseling affected dietary adherence and duration of HD influenced medicine adherence.

Contd..

- Similarly, IDWG was found to be influenced by age, comorbid diseases, duration of HD and fluid restriction.
- The patients undergoing hemodialysis had to spend more than an average Nepali's income in hemodialysis treatment.
- □ Patients did not perceive adherence important other than hemodialysis schedule and fluid restriction because of their immediate effect on their health.

Contd...

- □ Lack of long term financial support to the hemodialysis patients and unavailability of feasible transport compel them to skip or shorten hemodialysis treatment.
- □ Further, lack of reinforcement, motivation and regular counseling to the patients as well as family members in all domains of adherence seem to contribute to lower adherence.

REFERENCES

- Alkatheri, A. M., Alyousif, S. M., Alshabanah, N., Albekairy, A. M., Alharbi, S., Alhejaili, F. F., . . . Qandil, A. M. (2014). Medication adherence among adult patients on hemodialysis. *Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases and Transplantation*, 25(4), 762. Retrieved from http://www.sjkdt.org
- Arenas, M. D., Malek, T., Gil, M. T., Moledous, A., Alvarez-Ude, F., & Reig-Ferrer, A. (2010). Challenge of phosphorus control in hemodialysis patients: a problem of adherence. *J Nephrol*, 23(5), 525-534. Retrieved from http://www.sin-italy.org/jnonline
- Chan, Y. M., Zalilah, M. S., & Hii, S. Z. (2012). Determinants of compliance behaviours among patients undergoing hemodialysis in Malaysia. *PloS one*, *7*(8), e41362. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041362
- Al-Khattabi, G. H. (2014). Prevalence of treatment adherence among attendance at hemodialysis in Makah. *International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health*, *3*(5), 592-598. doi:10.5455/ijmsph.2014.170320141
- Kim, Y., Evangelista, L. S., Phillips, L. R., Pavlish, C., & Kopple, J. D. (2010). The End-Stage Renal Disease Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ): testing the psychometric properties in patients receiving in-center hemodialysis. *Nephrology nursing journal: journal of the American Nephrology Nurses' Association*, *37*(4), 377. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3077091/

REFERENCES

- Paudel, K., & Gurung, N. (2009). Hemodialysis at Charak Hospital and Research Center- The first year's experience. *Journal of Gandaki Medical College*, 2(4). Retrieved from http://www.gmc.edu.np/
- Saran, R., Bragg-Gresham, J. L., Rayner, H. C., Goodkin, D. A., Keen, M. L., Van Dijk, P. C., . . . Fukuhara, S. (2003). Nonadherence in hemodialysis: associations with mortality, hospitalization, and practice patterns in the DOPPS. *Kidney International*, 64(1), 254-262. Retrieved from http://www.nature.com/
- Wang, S., Alfieri, T., Ramakrishnan, K., Braunhofer, P., & Newsome, B. A. (2014). Serum phosphorus levels and pill burden are inversely associated with adherence in patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, 29(11), 2092-2099. doi:10.1093/ndt/gft280
- Takaki, J., Wang, D. H., Takigawa, T., & Ogino, K. (2007). Gender, marital status, and compliance in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Dialysis & Transplantation*, *36*(6), 304-309. doi:10.1002/dat.20129

THANK YOU !!!

TABLES

TABLE 2

Adherence to Hemodialysis Attendance

n=	=166	
taş	ge	
3		

HD Attendance	r requency	Percentage
Missed HD in last one month		
No	130	78.3
Yes	36	21.7
No of sessions missed (n=36)		
1 session	19	52.7
2 sessions	12	33.4
3 sessions	3	8.3
>3 sessions	2	5.6
Cause of missing HD treatment (n=36)		
Festival	17	47.2
Financial constraint	8	22.3
Personal work or emergency	9	25.0
Others (Injured, Didn't feel like to come)	2	5.5

HD Attendance	Frequency	Percentage
Shortening of HD session		
No	138	83.1
Yes	28	16.9
If yes, no of sessions shortened (n=28)		
1	8	28.6
2	11	39.2
3	7	25.0
>3	2	7.2
Average no of minutes shortened (n=28)		
10 minutes or less	3	10.7
11 to 20 minutes	6	21.4
21 to 30 minutes	11	39.3
more than 30 minutes	8	28.6
Cause of shortening of HD (n=28)		
Low BP	7	25.0
Personal problem or emergency	5	17.9
Cramps	5	17.9
Headache	3	10.7
Restlessness	3	10.7
Vomiting	3	10.7 35
Transportation problem	2	7 1

Yes

No

Weakness

Smoking habit

Non smokers

Smokers

Mild non adherence

Moderate non adherence

Very severe non adherence

Need to give up favorite food

Difficulty changing food habit

Didn't feel like to restrict

Cause of difficulty in diet restriction (n=94)

Difficulty in diet restriction

Severe non adherence

Information on Adherence to Diet Restriction of the Respondents _{n=166}					
36		n=166			
Diet Restriction	Frequency	Percentage			
Self-reported non adherence to diet in last one week					
No deviation	54	32.5			

56

30

18

8

94

72

27

45

21

5

161

33.7

18.1

10.8

4.8

56.6

43.4

28.7

47.9

22.3

1.1

3.0

97.0

Information on Adherence to Fluid Restriction of the Respondents

n=166

37

Fluid Restriction	Frequency	Percentage
Self-reported Non-adherence to fluid in last one week	Trequency	rereemage
No deviation	66	39.8
Mild non-adherence	54	32.5
Moderate non-adherence	27	16.3
Severe non-adherence	19	11.4
Difficulty in fluid restriction		
Yes	110	66.3
No	56	33.7
Cause of difficulty in fluid restriction (n=110)		
Excessive dry mouth and thirst	90	54.2
Can't give up favorite drink	17	10.2
Others	3	1.8

TABLE 4 contd...

Fluid Restriction	Frequency	Percentage
Importance of weighing daily		
Highly important	13	7.8
Very important	14	8.4
Moderately important	30	18.1
A little important	109	65.7
Number of times weighed outside in last week		
Not even once	154	92.8
Once	3	1.8
Twice	5	3.0
Others (Daily, Thrice)	4	2.4

TABLE 5

Mean \pm SD: 11.90 \pm 4.66

Range: 3-29

Information on Medicine Adherence of the Respondents

39

Medicine Adherence	Frequency	Percentage
No of daily pills		
<3	3	1.8
3-6	19	11.4
7-9	34	20.5
10-12	43	25.9
13-15	30	18.1
>15	37	22.3

n=166

TABLE 5 contd...

Medicine Adherence	Frequency	Percentage
Missed medicine last week		
Yes	35	21.1
No	131	78.9
No of doses missed (n=35)		
1-2 doses	14	40.0
3-5 doses	6	17.1
6-10 doses	4	11.5
>10 doses	11	31.4
Cause of missing medicine (n=35)		
Forgot to take medicine	14	40.0
Financial constraints	8	22.9
Not felt important to take	5	14.3
Difficulty chewing	3	8.5
Forgot to buy medicine	3	8.5
Side effects of the drugs	2	5.8

TABLE 5 contd...

Medicine Adherence	Frequency	Percentage
Self reported non adherence to medication		
No deviation	131	78.9
Mild Non adherence	14	8.4
Moderate Non adherence	6	2.4
Severe Non adherence	4	2.4
Very severe Non adherence	11	6.7

TABLE 6

Clinical Measures of Non adherence of the Respondents

Clinical Measures	Frequency	Percentage
Serum Potassium (n=47)		
\leq 6.0 mEq/L	39	83.0
>6.0 mEq/L	8	17.0
Mean	5.21 ± 0.88	
Range	3.1-7.3	
Serum Phosphorus (n=47)		
\leq 4.8 mg/dL	12	25.5
>4.8 mg/dL	35	74.5
Mean	5.53±1.51	
Range	3.0-11.5	
Interdialytic Weight Gain (n=165)		
≤5.7% of dry weight	84	50.9
>5.7% of dry weight	81	49.1
Mean	5.52±2.24	
Range	0.00-12.26	

TABLE 7 Co morbid diseases of the Respondents

		n=166	
Co morbid diseases	Frequency	Percentage	
Hypertension	166	100.0	
Diabetes Mellitus	37	22.2	
Hepatitis C	32	19.2	
Hypothyroidism	11	6.6	
Retinopathy	4	2.4	
Coronary Artery Disease	4	2.4	
Tuberculosis	2	1.2	
Ischemic Heart Disease	2	1.2	
Valvular Heart Disease	1	0.6	
BPH	1	0.6	