Lifestyle and related factors in older adults with osteoporosis



Assistant professor Dr, Minoo Pakgohar
School of Nursing and Midwifery,
Tehran University of Medical Sciences,
Tehran, Iran.

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Nursing & Healthcare, October 05 - 07, 2015 San Francisco, USA





Prevalence



Fracture



Importance of osteoporosis



Burden



Importance of Osteoporosis



- Osteoporosis: Men 4.8%, Women 7.7%
- Osteopenia: Men 36.8%, women 39.3%
- (ORC, 2011)

Over 60 years

- Women 56.3%
- Men 16.7%
- (Iranian Multicenter Osteoporosis Study, 2012)





Background

- Osteoporosis is a chronic disease and the most common metabolic bone disease.
- Complications of osteoporosis affect the quality of life.
- It has been estimated that between 20 to 50 percent of bone density is influenced by lifestyle (Morgan,2011).
- The present study aimed to determine:
 - 1) the lifestyle of osteoporotic older adults,
- 2) the factors associated with osteoporosis.



Methodology

- Study design: cross-sectional study,
- Sample size: 300 osteoporotic elderly
- Setting: Bone densitometry centers of Tehran University of Medical Sciences
- Inclusion criteria :

Age ≥ 60

T-score<-2.5





Data collection: self reported questionnaires

- 1) Demographic
- 2) Persian version Elderly lifestyle questionnaire: 46 questions, 5 dimensions: prevention, Physical activity, Nutrition, Stress management, Social participation

Minimum score:42

Maximum score: 211 (Eshaghi, S., Farajzadegan, Z. & Bahak, A. 2019)



Lifestyle levels:

Adequate (156-211) Moderate (99-155) Non Adequate (42-98)

Data analyzing: ANOVA, T-test P< 0.05.



Demographic characteristics

Female 62.1%

Married 73.3%

Age (60-70) 68.4%

Primary Education 54%

Employee 56.3%

Moderate income 76.3%

With comorbidity 67.3%

lifestyle

	IUU
	IXX
1	

Lifestyle dimensions	Total		Total Prevention		Physical Nutrition activity		Stress management		Social participation			
Lifestyle levels	N	%	N	0/0	N	0/0	N	0/0	N	0/0	N	%
Adequate 156-211	32	10.7	144	48	18	6.1	10	3.4	14	4.7	46	15.4
Moderate 99-155	214	71.3	156	52	124	41.3	255	85	247	82.3	218	72.6
Non Adequate 42-98	54	18	0	0	158	52.6	35	11.6	39	13	36	12
Mean± SD	131.43 ± 1 6.8			77 ± 07	10.8 3.1			85 ± 86		18 ± 66	21.73 4.13	



Lifestyle and age

Age Yr		60-70	7	1-80	≥80	
Lifestyle levels	N	0/0	N	0/0	N	0/0
Adequate 156-211	20	9.7	12	13.3	0	0
Moderate 99-155	154	75.1	56	62.2	4	80
Non Adequate 42-98	31	15.2	22	24.5	1	20
Total	205	100	90	100	5	100
Mean± SD	131.88±16.06		130.86±18.53		123.40±14.17	

ANOVA TEST F=0.697 P=0.499



Lifestyle and Gender

Male		Female	
N	9/0	N	0/0
13	11.4	19	10.2
86	75.4	128	68.8
15	13.2	39	20
114	100	186	100
132.46±16.27		129.75±17.56	
	N 13 86 15	N % 13 11.4 86 75.4 15 13.2	N % N 13 11.4 19 86 75.4 128 15 13.2 39 114 100 186

T test t = -1.357 df=298 p=0.176





Lifestyle and Marriage status

Marriage status	Single		Married		Divorced		widow	
Lifestyle levels	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Adequate 156-211	0	0	29	13.1	2	14.2	1	1.7
Moderate 99-155	10	100	155	70	10	71.4	39	69.6
Non Adequate 42-98	0	0	36	16.9	2	14.2	16	28.7
Total	10	100	220	100	14	100	56	100
Mean± SD	128±11.23		133.34±17.13		134.5±16.38		123.79±14.24	
ANOVA F=5	5.330	P	=0.001					





Lifestyle and Income

Income	poo	r	Mode	erate	Good	
Lifestyle levels	N	%	N	%	N	%
Adequate 156-211	1	2	20	8.7	11	50
Moderate 99-155	33	67.3	174	76	7	31
Non Adequate 42-98	15	30.7	35	15.3	4	19
Total	49	100	229	100	22	100
Mean± SD	122.14±19.67		131.83±14.43		148±19.54	
ANOVA Test	F=20.65	2	P=0.00	1		



Lifestyle and Comorbidity

			the state of the s	
Comorbidity	yes	8	No	
Lifestyle levels	N	⁰ / ₀	N	%
Adequate 156-211	22	10.9	10	10.2
Moderate 99-155	150	74.2	64	65.3
Non Adequate 42-98	30	14.9	24	24.5
Total	202	100	98	100
Mean± SD	129.68±15.	54	135.05±18.69	
T test=-2.623	df=298 p	=0.009		



Conclusion

- Most of the participants had moderate lifestyle.
- o Related factors:

Nonsignificant: Age, and gender

Significant: Marriage status, income,

and comorbidity



Implication

- To increase public awareness
- To modify all dimensions of lifestyle particularly on physical activity
- To prevent osteoporosis
- To screen osteoporosis
- To improve quality of life



Future research

To design an interventional study for improving lifestyle.



References

- Bagheri, P., Haghdoost, A.-A., Dortaj, E., Halimi, L., Vafayi, Z., Farhangnia, M. & Shayan, L. 2011. Ultra Analysis of Prevalence of Osteoporosis in Iranian Women A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Iranian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism.
- Eshaghi, S., Farajzadegan, Z. & Babak, A. 2010. Healthy lifestyle assessment questionnaire in elderly: translation, reliability and validity. Payesh.
- Morgan, S. L. Calcium and Vitamin D in Osteoporosis. Rheumatic Disease Clinics, 27, 101-130.
- Osteoporosis Research Center. 2011. Osteoporosis. Osteoporosis Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, [online]available at: http://emri.tums.ac.ir/pages/mainPage.asp?l=38791034l010 [accessed 5 october 2012].
- Shirazi Khah, M. 2012. Study of Health and social indicators of elderly women in Iran. Iranian Journal of Ageing, 6, 66-78.