Quality-of- life, Body Image and Cosmesis after Single

Incision Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (SILC) Versus

Conventional Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (CLC)

M.D.Huseyin SINAN M.D. Mehmet SAYDAM* M.D. M.Tahir OZER M.D. Sezai DEMIRBAS Ass.Res.Pervin DEMIR

*Mevki Military Hospital, Ankara, TURKEY

- Conventional Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (CLC) was first performed by Erich Mühe in 1985.
- Paradigm shift in the surgical arena (1).
- Become the standart surgical procedure for diseases of gallbladder.
- Generally four ports are needed
- A lot of data are available in the literature for CLC

- Seeking new techniques
- Less pain
- Less scaring
- Shorter operative time
- Shorter hospital length of stay
- High level of satisfaction

• The Conventional Laparoscopic Technique enjoy all these advantages

• Single- Access Surgery was described in 1992 (2)

• Single Incision Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (SILC) in 1997 (3)

• Reduced postoperative pain (3,4)

• Reduced trauma to the abdominal wall (3,4)

• Reduced scar (3,4)

3. Hirano Y, Watanebe T, Uchida T et al: Single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy, single institutionexperience and literature review. World J Gastroenterol 16(2):270-274 2010 4. Lee PC, Lo C, Lai PS et al: Randomized clinical trial of single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus minilaparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Surg 97(7):1007-1012 2010 • Technical problems of SILC

- Collision of instruments (5)
- Time to learn
- Patience for surgeon
- Patience for the surgical team







SILC (6 minutes video sample)

• 33 age male

• BMI: 21,9

• USG: Cholelitiasis

Relatively less data in the literature

• Aim: Comparing outcomes of the SILC with LC in the terms of quality-of-life

measures and cosmesis

• Prospective randomized study

• January 2011 and March 2013 in Turkey

• All operations were performed by the same team.

• 52 patients in two groups

• 26 patients were underwent SILC (f/m: 16/10)

• 26 patients were underwent CLC (f/m: 13/13)

- 6 months after surgery
- EuroQol EQ-5D questionnaire

EQ-5D

Describing your health TODAY

Under each heading, please tick the ONE box that best describes your health TODAY

- 1-Mobility (walking about)
- I have no problems walking about
- I have some problems walking about
- I have a lot of problems walking about
- 2-Looking after myself
- I have no problems washing or dressing myself
- I have some problems washing or dressing myself
- I have a lot of problems washing or dressing myself

3-Doing usual activities (for example, going to school, hobbies,

- sports, playing, doing things with family or friends)
- I have no problems doing my usual activities
- I have some problems doing my usual activities
- I have a lot of problems doing my usual activities

4-Having pain or discomfort

- I have no pain or discomfort
- I have some pain or discomfort
- I have a lot of pain or discomfort

5-Feeling worried, sad or unhappy

I am not worried, sad or unhappy I am a bit worried, sad or unhappy I am very worried, sad or unhappy

- D bbies

How good is your health TODAY

The best health



Body Image Questionnaire

1) Are you less satisfied with your body since the operation?

- 1-Yes, extremely
- 2- Quite a bit
- 3- A little bit
- 4- No, not at all

2) Do you think the operation has damaged your body?

- 1-Yes, extremely
- 2- Quite a bit
- 3- A little bit
- 4- No, not at all

3) Do you feel less attractive as a result of your operation?

- 1-Yes, extremely
- 2- Quite a bit
- 3- A little bit
- 4- No, not at all

4) Do you feel less feminine/masculine as a result of your operation?

- 1-Yes, extremely
- 2- Quite a bit
- 3- A little bit
- 4- No, not at all

5) Is it difficult to look at yourself naked?

- 1-Yes, extremely
- 2- Quite a bit
- 3- A little bit
- 4- No, not at all

6) On a scale from 1 to 7, how satisfied are you with your (incisional) scar?

- 1- Very unsatisfied
- 7- Very satisfied

7) On a scale from 1 to 7, how would you describe your (incisional) scar?

- 1- Revolting
 - 7- Very beautiful

8) Could you score your own scar on a scale from 1 to 10?

- 1- Nasty
- 10-Excellent

9) How confident were you before your operation?

- 1- Not very confident
- 10- Very confident
- 10) How confident were you after your operation?
 - 1- Not very confident
 - 10- Very confident

Statistical Analysis

• Shapiro – Wilks[®] test for age variable

• Number (n), percentage (%)

• Pearson Chi Square[®] test for gender distribution

Statistical Analysis

- Percentage and (n) values for Body Image Questionaire (BIQ) 1 to 5 answers
- Mann-Whitney U test[®] for EQ-5D and BIQ 6 to 10

- IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. and MS-Excel 2007
- p<0.05

- 55,8 % female
- 44,2 % male
- Mean age: 46,6

-		Test statistic			
	SILC		CLC		
	Min; Max	Median	Min; Max	Median	р
Age	20.0; 79.0	47.5±14.7	25.0; 76.0	45.7±13.7	0.641
EQ_5D index	0.755; 1.000	1.000 (0.090)	0.755; 1.000	1.000 (0.090)	0.831
EQ-5D_ self determination of the current health status	40.0; 100.0	85.0 (21.0)	65.0; 100.0	90.0 (11.0)	0.202

Table 1. The comparison of the specified variables in the groups

	Group		
Variables	SILC n (%)	CLC n (%)	
EQ-5D_1			
1	21 (48.8)	22 (51.2)	
2	5 (55.6)	4 (44.4)	
EQ-5D_2			
1	23 (51.1)	22 (48.9)	
2	3 (42.9)	4 (57.1)	
EQ-5D_3			
1	23 (51.1)	22 (48.9)	
2	3 (42.9)	4 (57.1)	
EQ-5D_4			
1	18 (52.9)	16 (47.1)	
2	8 (44.4)	10 (55.6)	
EQ-5D_5			
1	21 (48.8)	22 (51.2)	
2	5 (55.6)	4 (44.4)	

Table 2: Distribution of the individuals' responses to the EQ-5D test

Table 3: Distribution of the individuals 'answers to the BIQ's 1-5 th questions in the groups

	Group		
	SILS	C LC	
Variables	n (%)	n (%)	
BIQ_1			
2	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	
3	7 (77.8)	2 (22.2)	
4	18 (42.9)	24 (57.1)	
BIQ_2			
2	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	
3	10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)	
4	15 (42.9)	20 (57.1)	
BIQ_3			
3	8 (47.1)	9 (52.9)	
4	18 (51.4)	17 (48.6)	
BIQ_4			
3	5 (41.7)	7 (58.3)	
4	21 (52.5)	19 (47.5)	
BIQ_5			
3	6 (66.7)	3 (33.3)	
4	20 (46.5)	23 (53.5)	

Table 4: Answers of the Body Image Quetionnaire's 6-10 questions in the groups

		Group	
Variables	SILC Median (min; max)	CLC Median (min; max)	р
BIQ_6	6.0 (3.0;7.0)	6.0 (5.0; 7.0)	0.193
BIQ_7	6.5 (4.0; 7.0)	6.0 (4.0; 7.0)	0.007
BIQ_8	8.5 (7.0; 10.0)	8.0 (5.0; 10.0)	0.024
BIQ_9	8.0 (6.0; 10.0)	8.0 (6.0; 9.0)	0.524
BIQ_10	9.0 (5.0; 10.0)	9.0 (7.0; 10.0)	0.938

Conclusion

 Cosmesis scale was the only statistically significant variable

 Patients have better satisfaction of their scar appearance

• SILC may be a preferable technique

 Further studies are needed for the final assessment

