# Pseudomonas aeruginosa Myth or Menace?

Megan Hargreaves and Sharri Minion

## Why focus on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*?

- Well known to environmental microbiologists
  - Indigenous microbiota of water and soil, plant tissues
  - Recently found to preferentially aerosolised from those sites into the atmosphere
- Well known to clinical microbiologists
  - Commonly isolated as a opportunistic pathogen in debilitated patients
    - Catheterised Urinary Tracts
    - Cystic fibrosis lung colonisation
    - Burn wounds, particularly large scale
  - Famously resistant to most antibiotics, very hard to treat
- Not well known to food quality microbiologists

### Environmental Microbiology Research Group study

- Pilot study regarding Salad Vegetables and Pseudomonas aeruginosa indicated potential of project
- Major study of sources of Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonization of CF lungs focussed on two potential sources:
  - Person to person: human source
  - Air/water/soil: environmental source



 Food-borne micro-organisms are often sourced from their environment, especially fruit and vegetable crops which can be contaminated by the air or water or soil or all three





- On the premise that:
  - Salad vegetables are generally eaten raw
  - Pathogens present in or on food may be aspirated or washed into the lungs
  - Vegetables are grown in soil or compost and irrigated by sprayed water, or hydroponically (in water)
- We tested both the outer surface and inner pulp of the following salad fruit and vegetables:
  - Lettuce iceberg type (grown in soil or hydroponically)
  - Tomatoes
  - Mushrooms (organic and non-organic)
  - Alfafa sprouts





### Treatment



- Vegetables were sourced from Supermarket, Greengrocer and Farmers' Market
- All samples were collected directly into a sterile stomacher bag using gloved hands, chilled for transport to the laboratory
- Outer surfaces were rinsed, rinse water filtered, plated out
- Roots of lettuce were removed for separate testing
- Flesh of all samples was stomached and plated out in same way as washings





## Three levels of identification stringency

- Level I: Initial identification of Pseudomonas aeruginosa performed using Phenotypic tests – culture and biochemical characteristics
  - This gives a presumptive identification
  - This level would usually be all that was done in food labs
- Level 2: Genotyping such as Real-time PCR (RT-PCR)
  - Pseudomonas aeruginosa duplex RT-PCR reaction assay (PAduplex)
  - Tests for conserved regions of genome, unique and exclusive to Pseudomonas aeruginosa
  - Confirms the identity of the organism, while decreasing the probability of misidentification.

### Three levels of identification stringency

#### Level 3: Strain typing using Molecular (DNA) analysis

- Within the genome of confirmed Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates, variation may still be found by this level of analysis
- Variants are known as strains
- Clones are strains that have been consistently found in a geographical area, in multiple patients
- ERIC-PCR is a quick PCR method that is typically used to screen isolates for clonality
  - The resulting pattern provides a fingerprint of the organism which can be compared to each other to determine if any relationship exists between isolates
  - Discrimination between isolates was shown to be very high

### Results – Level 1 Phenotypic ID

**Table 1a.** Presumptive and confirmatory identification data including number of genotypes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* recovered from sampled vegetables.

	TYPE OF VEGETABLE		NUMBER OF SAMPLES	NUMBER OF PRESUMPTIVE ISOLATES	
	Lattuca	Leaves	30	74	
	Lettuce	Roots	30	82	
	Mushrooms	Whole	48	45	
A	Sprouts	Whole	36	10	
X .	Tomatoes	Whole	36	18 🖠	
Paris	TOTAL		150*/180	227 🍣	

\* Indicates that lettuce roots were treated as individual samples during analysis, being the additional 30 samples shown in the current table.

### Comments on Table 1a results

- All categories of retailers (greengrocer, farmers' markets, and supermarkets) contributed contaminated vegetables of some type.
  - Farmers' markets and supermarkets had contamination in/on all types of vegetables tested
  - Fruit and vegetable shop's mushrooms and tomatoes were uncontaminated



# Level 2 and 3: Genotyping and strain typing

summary			

TYPE OF VEGETABLE		NUMBER OF SAMPLES	NUMBER OF PRESUMPTIVE ISOLATES	NUMBER OF CONFIRMED ISOLATES	NUMBER OF DIFFERENT GENOTYPES FOUND PER BATCH
Lettuce	Leaves	30	74	23	8
Lettuce	Roots	30	82	29	10
Mushrooms	Whole	48	45	5	3
Sprouts	Whole	36	10	3	2
Tomatoes	Whole	36	18	14	5
TOTAL		150*/180	227	74	28



### Level 1: Level 2: Level 3 Phenotyping: Genotyping: Strain typing

- Phenotypic methods are slow and subject to alterations in biochemistry profiles and phenotype expression
  - 227 isolates were identified by phenotyping as potential Pseudomonas aeruginosa
- Genotyping confirms the identity of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa, decreasing probability of misidentification
  - Of the 227 potential *P.aeruginosa* isolates, only 74 were confirmed using PA duplex (roughly 2/3 false positives)
- ERIC-PCR provides a fingerprint of the organism and compares strains to determine if any relationship exists between them
  - Of the confirmed 74 Ps. a isolates, 28 different genotypes were identified



## Results of surface vs flesh tests

The great majority of the isolates were found on the outside of all of the vegetables (Table 2).

A very small number of confirmed isolates were found inside a tomato, one sprout package and the roots of the several lettuce.

Table 2: distribution of numbers of confirmed isolates recovered from vegetable tissue and on the external surfaces of various vegetables.

TYPE OF VEGETABLE		NUMBER OF CONFIRMED ISOLATES RECOVERED				
		SURFACE	TISSUE	TOTAL		
Lettuce	Leaves	23	0	23		
	Roots	23	6	29		
Mushrooms	Whole	3	2	5		
Sprouts	Whole	0	3	3		
Tomatoes	Whole	13	1	14		
TOTAL		62	12	74		

Significance of surface vs flesh results



- The finding of a majority of isolates from surfaces of vegetable suggests that the contamination is most likely due to handling, washing or similar
- If the growth source of soil or water were found to be contaminated (results not shown here), we might have expected to find more plant tissue contamination
- Lack of cross contamination of genotypes between vegetables at any single retailer, indicates that the contamination is unlikely to have occurred there

Send	I in the Clones		Lifereiners Berspack Vision Lifereiners Berspack Vision
		Lettuce leaves	Farmers markets: Washing
		Lettuce leaves	Green grocer, Washing
Lis 1.85. Market		Lettuce roas	Green grocer, Washing
		Lettuce r ots	Farmers markets; Homogenise
		VIC1 CC ITROL	
		Lettuce r ots	Green grocer; Washing
		SA2 COL TROL	
The second		P3 CONTROL	
		Bean sprouts	Supermarket; Homogenised
		Bean sprouts	Supermarket; Homogenised
		Lettuce leaves	Supermarket; Washing
		SA1 CONTROL	
		Lettuce leaves	Farmers markets; Washing
		Tomato	Farmers markets; Washing
		Tornato	Farmers markets; Washing
		Tomato	Farmers markets; Washing
		Tomato	Farmers markets; Washing
		Tomato	Farmers markets; Washing
4			Lifter Starts Horo purc ("Main Lifter Starts Fances and chick ("Main 1981)

Distant Party

NUPLETYPE Idiaciones

. . . . . . .

MURLENNE

### Sand in the Clance

### Analysis of Cloning results

- No clonal genotypes of P. aeruginosa were found in or on any vegetable tested
- However, there has been a possibility suggested that isolated strains may mutate into clonal strains after infection of a human host, similar to the genetic adaptations in CF patients
- Recent evidence supports a theory that environmental strains of *P. aeruginosa* are able to move to other environments, such as the CF lung, and survive because the organism is forced to mutate due to natural selection (Rau et al., 2010)
- Therefore, while the isolates recovered in this study may not have 100% correlation to commonly isolated clonal varieties, they may mutate into clonal strains, given favourable conditions.

### Take home message

Significance of proportion of isolates identified phenotypically as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* that proved to be negative by PA-duplex (roughly 2/3).

This finding has major implications regarding the use of direct PCR methods for food quality testing!

- Genotyping results indicated that strains are found more consistently within a type of vegetable, than within a retail outlet.
  - This indicates that the contamination is more likely to have occurred on the farm, in storage or in transit to the retail outlet

### And Finally – Myth or Menace

- Certainly not a myth proved to be present on surface of many vegetables
- Degree of menace is yet to be confirmed
  - Clonal strains were not found in or on vegetables
  - Level of menace is likely to depend very much on host factors
  - If salad vegetables are to be eaten by a debilitated or immune-suppressed person, extra care should be taken with washing of the ingredients.



### References

- Anuj, S. N., D. M. Whiley, T. J. Kidd, S. C. Bell, C. E. Wainwright, M. D. Nissen and T. P. Sloots. 2009. Identification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by a duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assay targeting the *ecfX* and the *gyrB* genes. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, 63: 127-131.
- Curran, B., J. A. W. Morgan and D. Honeybourne. 2005. Commercial mushrooms and bean sprouts are a source of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43 (11): 5830-5831
- Hardalo, C. and S. Edberg. 1997. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Assessment of risk from drinking water. Critical Reviews in Microbiology, 23 (1): 47-75.
- Heijerman, H. 2005. Infection and inflammation in cystic fibrosis: A short review. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis, 4: 3-5.
- Kidd, T., K. Ramsay, H. Hu, P. Bye, M. Elkins, K. Grimwood, C. Harbour, et al. 2009. Low rates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa misidentification in isolates from cystic fibrosis patients. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 47 (5): 1503-1509.
- Kominos, S. D., C. E. Copeland, B. Grosiak and B. Postic. 1972. Introduction of Pseudomonas aeruginosa into a hospital via vegetables. Applied Microbiology, 24: 567-570.
- Samadpour, M. 2001. Molecular typing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in distribution systems. Seattle: Awwa Research Foundation and American Water Works Association.
- Shooter, R. A., E. M. Cooke, M. C. Faiers, S. M. O'Farrell and A. L. Breaden. 1971. Isolation of Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Klebsiella from food in hospitals, canteens and schools. The Lancet, 2: 390-392.
- Stewart, T. 2009. Cystic fibrosis in Australia 2007. North Ryde: Cystic Fibrosis Australia.
- Syrmis, M. W., M. R. O'Carroll, T. P. Sloots, C. Coulter, C. E. Wainwright, S. C. Bell and M. D. Nissen. 2004. Rapid genotyping of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa isolates harboured by adult and paediatric patients with cystic fibrosis using repetitive-element-based PCR assays. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 53: 1089-1096.
- Wright, C., S. D. Kominos and R. B. Yee. 1976. Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa recovered from vegetable salads. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 31 (3): 453-454.