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## Introduction

$\checkmark$ Condensate is the liquid formed by the condensation of a gas, specifically, the hydrocarbon liquid separate from natural gas because of changes in temperature and pressure when the gas from the reservoir was delivered to the surface separators. Such condensate remains a liquid at atmospheric temperature and pressure.
$\checkmark$ Condensate stabilization is the removal of light components from hydrocarbon liquid to lower its vapour pressure to a desired level. Stabilization may be used to meet a required pipeline sales contract specification or to minimize the vaporization of the hydrocarbon.

## Introduction

$\checkmark$ How can this be done?


## Introduction

$\checkmark$ Three Stage Separation

$\checkmark$ Based on actual plant data in the literature, it carried out a simulation using Aspen HYSYS® software to predict the opposite process behavior on some proposed amendments (Rahmanian, Ilias and Nasrifar, 2015).
$\checkmark$ In the static software module, changes in temperature, pressure and flow of plant behavior were evaluated.
$\checkmark$ In the dynamic software module, a control system was tested and configured to stabilize disturbances in the model.

## Methodology

$\checkmark$ In this work we use the software Aspen Hysys ${ }^{\circledR}$ version 8.6
$\checkmark$ Fluid-package: Peng-Robinson
$\checkmark$ Feed condition

| Components | Mole fraction | Components | Mole fraction |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Methane | 0,217883 | $n$-Nonane | 0,046242 |
| Ethane | 0,054357 | n-Decane | 0,037196 |
| Propane | 0,051765 | Cumene | 0,005444 |
| i-Butane | 0,018877 | n-C11 | 0,087716 |
| n-Butane | 0,038880 | EGlycol | 0,048356 |
| i-Pentane | 0,022965 | 1Pentanthiol | 0,001091 |
| n-Pentane | 0,025828 | nBMercaptan | 0,000505 |
| n-Hexane | 0,037949 | nPMercaptan | 0,001477 |
| Mcyclopentan | 0,003282 | COS | 0,000007 |
| Benzene | 0,002240 | E-Mercaptan | 0,001687 |
| Cyclohexane | 0,004598 | M-Mercaptan | 0,000130 |
| Mcyclohexane | 0,012366 | H2O | 0,129641 |
| Toluene | 0,003802 | H2S | 0,010158 |
| n-Heptane | 0,046697 | CO2 | 0,012006 |
| n-Octane | 0,054087 | Nitrogen | 0,002621 |
| p-Xylene | 0,020148 | SUM | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 0 0 0}$ |


| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 25 |  | Vapour phase | 0,1179 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pressure $(\mathrm{kPa})$ | 4500 |  | Liquid phase | 0,7466 |
| Molar flow $\left(\mathrm{kmol} \cdot \mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ | 4000 |  | Aqueos phase | 0,1354 |



## Methodology

$\checkmark$ Process Flow Diagram for the Condensate Stabilization


## Results and Discussion

## $\checkmark$ For the Static Process Simulation
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## Conclusions

$\checkmark$ The simulation performed for the condensate stabilization provided specification of the products like the RVP criteria, were presented in this work similarly to all changes programmed into the system.
$\checkmark$ The changes in the feed stream (temperature, pressure and flow) influenced product quality.
$\checkmark$ It is necessary to set other process specifications to simulate the conditions of condensate stabilization.
$\checkmark$ It was possible to develop dynamic models for the process with added columns for regeneration of MEG and for separating the final product.
$\checkmark$ The adjustment of the controllers is essential to the stabilization of the dynamic models.
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