

The Impact of Air Pollution on Human Health

Fernando Holguin MD MPH Associate Professor of medicine and Pediatrics Director, Clinical and Translational Research Center, MUH University of Pittsburgh Medical Center

Air pollution, a complex mixture of particles and gases

Criteria air pollutants

Ground-level ozone	 Forms from the reaction of pollutants emitted by industrial facilities and motor vehicles
Particulate matter (PM)	Diverse chemical and physical substances that exist in discrete particles over a wide range of particles. Derived from anthropogenic sources (<2.5 microns); whereas PM10 mostly derive from dust dispersion
Lead	Used to be a major air pollution component until banned in gasoline; more of an industrial pollutant

Air pollution, a complex mixture of particles and gases

Criteria air pollutants

Sulfur – dioxide	>	Gas emitted primarily by fuel combustion from electrical utilities and industry
Carbon dioxide	>	Gasoline-fueled vehicles and on- road mobile sources
Nitrogen dioxide	>	Gas emitted by trucks, cars, buses, power plants, non- road engines and equipment

Source : Environmental Protection Agency



PM < 2.5 Ambient Sample – Field Image





Fossil Fuel Combustion

Mexico City sample from The Desert Research Institute, Reno Nevada



Air pollution levels and health risks



Relatively short-term exposures translate into adverse Airway functional and inflammatory responses



Oxford Street

Hyde Park

McCreanor et al NEJM 2007



Short – term exposures to traffic-related emissions are associated with transient reductions in lung function



Air Pollution, Airway Inflammation, and Lung Function in a Cohort Study of Mexico City Schoolchildren



Barraza A, et al 2008; EHP

Table 4. Association [coefficients per increase in IQR (95% CI)] between exhaled NO, IL-8, pH of EBC, and lung function and air pollutants in nonasthmatic children living in Mexico City, 2003–2005.

Variable ^a	PM _{2.5} (μg/m ³)	NO ₂ (ppb)
Fe _{NO} ^a (ppb) IL-8 ^a (pg/mL) pH_EBC ^a FEV ₁ ^b (mL) FVC ^b (mL) FEV _{25 -75} ^b (mL)	0.89 (0.78 to 1.01)* 1.16 (1.00 to 1.36)** -0.05 (-0.14 to 0.04) -21.0 (-42.3 to 0.38)* -29.0 (-52.8 to -4.35)** -20.0 (-69.0 to 29.0)	1.10 (0.99 to 1.23)* 1.15 (1.01 to 1.32)** 0.01 (-0.07 to 0.09) -6.73 (-22.0 to 8.52) -9.51 (-27.0 to 7.97) -12.1 (-47.0 to 22.7)
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6.00

Accumulative days of PM25 (µg/m3)

Lung function models were adjusted for sex, body mass index, previous day minimum temperature and chronological time. *p > 0.05, < 0.08. **p < 0.05.

Traffic, Susceptibility, and Childhood Asthma

 Table 1. Demographic characteristics and potential confounders or susceptibility factors.

Characteristic	No. (%) ^a
Child	
Sex	
Male	2,425 (51)
Female	2,295 (49)
Race	
North American Indian	44 (0.93)
Asian	170 (3.6)
Black	197 (4.2)
Hispanic white	2,617 (55)
Non-Hispanic white	1,682 (35)
Other	32 (0.67)



Environ Health Perspect 114:766–772 (2006).

Asthma and wheezing in relation to road proximity

Major road distance (m)	No. ^b	Lifetime asthma	Prevalent asthma	Current wheeze
All participants				
> 300	2,058	1.00	1.00	1.00
150-300	1,193	0.92 (0.73-1.15)	1.04 (0.82-1.33)	1.02 (0.82-1.27)
75-150	778	1.06 (0.82-1.36)	1.33 (1.02-1.72)*	1.30 (1.02-1.66)*
< 75	713	1.29 (1.01-1.66)*	1.50 (1.16-1.95)**	1.40 (1.09-1.78)**
Long-term residents				
> 300	813	1.00	1.00	1.00
150-300	483	0.86 (0.59-1.24)	0.83 (0.56-1.21)	0.97 (0.69-1.38)
75-150	294	1.03 (0.68-1.56)	1.09 (0.71-1.66)	1.09 (0.73-1.62)
< 75	266	1.46 (0.98-2.17)	1.64 (1.10-2.44)*	1.67 (1.14-2.43)**

Table 2. Association of asthma and wheeze with distance to a major road [OR (95% CI)].^a

*Adjusted for age, sex, language of questionnaire, community, and race. *Total exposed in each category of distance to a major road. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.</p>

Probability of asthma prevalence and distance to roads



Figure 2. Prevalence of asthma by distance of residence to a major road within 500 m, among long-term (A) and short-term (B) residents with no family history of asthma. Dotted lines indicate 95% confidence interval.

Particulate matter pollution, a risk for multiple chronic diseases



Brooks et al, Circulation AHA statement 2010



Mortality increase associated with a 10 μ g/m³ in PM2.5 or 20 μ g/m³ in PM10, For different time scales

Brooks et al , Circulation 2010 AHA statement





Recent traffic exposure to vehicular traffic is associated with increased ORs for acute myocardial infarction

691 AMI survivors from whom the onset of chest pain and traffic exposure could be quantified

Peters et al NEJM

ORs for AMI (vulnerable period vs control periods), by time spent in traffic

Type of Transportation and Hours before MI	No. of Subjects	Frequency of Exposure in Case Period on Day of MI (%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
Any means of transportation†				
Concurrent	585	8.0	1.50 (1.07–2.09)	0.02
1 hr	625	12.1	2.92 (2.22-3.83)	<0.001
2 hr	634	8.9	2.01 (1.49–2.72)	<0.001
3 hr	635	5.5	1.15 (0.79–1.66)	0.47
4 hr	638	5.6	1.27 (0.89–1.83)	0.19
5 hr	639	6.8	1.64 (1.17–2.30)	0.004
6 hr	640	6.1	1.34 (0.93–1.92)	0.11

Peters et al, NEJM

Air pollution (PM2.5) and chronic peripheral vascular disease

Model	Overall Associations	Within-City Associations
Baseline IMT (μ m) per 2.5 μ g/m ³ of	baseline PM _{2.5}	
Minimal adjustment	6.1 (2.6 to 9.6)	3.3 (-5.9 to 12.5)
Moderate adjustment	6.6 (3.1 to 10.2)	1.0 (-8.6 to 10.5)
Main model	6.3 (2.8 to 9.8)	0.4 (-9.1 to 9.9)
Extended adjustment	5.7 (1.5 to 9.8)	1.1 (-9.8 to 12.0)
Progression of IMT (µm/y) per 2.5 µ	g/m ³ of average	follow-up PM _{2.5}
Minimal adjustment	0.4 (-0.4 to 1.2)	4.8 (2.4 to 7.1)
Moderate adjustment	0.5 (-0.3 to 1.3)	4.9 (2.5 to 7.3)
Main model	0.4 (-0.4 to 1.2)	5.0 (2.6 to 7.4)
Extended adjustment	0.5 (-0.4 to 1.5)	4.4 (1.6 to 7.3)

Adar S, PLoS One 2014

Fine particulate matter and chronic cardiovascular disease



Mean difference in IMT progression (mm/y, 95% CI) per 2.5 μ g/m³ PM2.5 concentration averaged over follow-up in select stratified analyses controlled for metropolitan area.

Adar S, PLoS One 2014

Estimated IMT (95% CIs) over time at varying levels of average residential PM2.5 concentrations exceeding the city average during the follow-up period



Results are reported for concentration increments above the city mean with confidence intervals around the mean





Freeman, M JAMA 2001

Less pollution, less asthma morbidity

Table 2. Univariate and Adjusted Relative Risk of Acute Asthma Events During the 1996 Summer Olympic Games Compared With the 1996 Summertime Baseline Period*

Data Source	Univariate RR† (95% Cl)	P Value	Adjusted RR‡ (95% Confidence Interval)	P Value
Georgia Medicaid claims file	0.61 (0.44-0.85)	.003	0.48 (0.44-0.86)	.006
Health maintenance organization	0.56 (0.31-1.02)	.06	0.58 (0.32-1.06)	.10
Pediatric emergency departments	0.91 (0.85-1.42)	.48	0.93 (0.71-1.22)	.69
Georgia Hospital Discharge Database	0.81 (0.54-1.23)	.34	0.71 (0.46-1.11)	.22

*RR indicates relative risk; CI, confidence interval. For definition of baseline period, see "Study Design" subsection of "Methods" section.

+Relative risk based on Poisson model (fraction of total acute care events with a primary diagnosis of asthma).

Time-series regression analysis was adjusted for day of week (weekday vs weekend) and minimum daily temperature (lagged 1 day to minimize serial correlation). When it comes to air pollution and its impact on human health

- 1. Not all air pollution exposures are the same
- 2. It matters who you are
- Chronic and acute exposures lead to different outcomes; may involve different mechanistic pathways (Synergistic?)
- 4. No absolute safe level exists
- 5. Reducing emissions improves outcomes
- 6. Important questions remain; how and whom can we protect.