Multimetric Phytoplankton Index (MPI): description of the method and study cases in Mediterranean Sea.

<u>Chiara FACCA¹</u>, Fabrizio BERNARDI AUBRY², Stefania FINOTTO², Emanuele PONIS³, Francesco ACRI², Adriano SFRISO¹, Antonella LUGLIE⁴, Anna Maria BAZZONI⁵









Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale Architettura ad Alghero Dipartimento di Architettura, Design, Urbanistia r Università degli Studi di Sassari



ISTITUTO ZOOPROFILATTICO J SPERIMENTALE DELLA SARTUTANA "G.Pegreffi"

Multimetric Phytoplankton Index (MPI) Literature proposes several formulations based on phytoplankton metrics but most indices don't completely fulfill the WFD requirements, above all in the case of transitional waters.

To be compliant with the Water Framework Directive (WFD – 2000/60/EC) requirements, phytoplankton taxonomic composition, abundance and biomass must be used to assess transitional water ecological quality.

Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

•Objectives:

•The **protection** of inland surface waters, transitional waters, coastal waters and groundwater

•Member States shall implement the necessary measures to **prevent deterioration** of the status of all bodies of surface water

• Member States shall **protect, enhance and restore all bodies of surface water** with the aim of achieving good surface water status by 2015 (Ecological status: expression of the quality of the structure and functioning of aquatic ecosystems)

•ANNEX V

•Biological Quality elements for the classification of ecological status <u>Composition, abundance and biomass of phytoplankton</u> Composition and abundance of other aquatic flora Composition and abundance of benthic invertebrate fauna Composition and abundance of fish fauna

- Description of the Multimetric Phytoplankton Index
 - Results of the set up
 - New results for the validation phase



Paper describing the index set up

Marine Pollution Bulletin 79 (2014) 145-154



Description of a Multimetric Phytoplankton Index (MPI) for the assessment of transitional waters



Chiara Facca ^{a,*}, Fabrizio Bernardi Aubry ^b, Giorgio Socal ^b, Emanuele Ponis ^c, Francesco Acri ^b, Franco Bianchi ^b, Franco Giovanardi ^d, Adriano Sfriso ^a

^a DAIS, University Ca' Foscari Venice, Dorsoduro 2137, 30123 Venice, Italy

^b Institute of Marine Science (ISMAR CNR), Arsenale-Tesa 104 Castello 2737/F, 30122 Venice, Italy

^cISPRA – Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Loc. Brondolo, 30015 Chioggia, Italy

^d ISPRA – Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Via Brancati 60, 00144 Rome, Italy

The dataset to calculate the four metrics must contain data of <u>chlorophyll *a*</u> <u>concentrations</u>, <u>phytoplankton cell abundances</u> and <u>taxonomic</u> <u>composition</u>, collected seasonally (feb, may, aug, nov) at surface.

The taxonomic list of each sample has to contain only determined species (also as Genus sp. 1 or Class sp. 1) as in the table on the right.

Achnantes brevipes	Yes
Achnantes longipes	Yes
Achnantes microcephala	Yes
Achnantes sp. 1	Yes
Navicula spp.	No
Und. Euglenophyceae	No
Dictyocha fibula	Yes
Dictyocha speculum	Yes
Octactis octonaria	Yes
Nanoflagellates	No
Und. Cyanophyceae	No

1. First metric (Hulburt index)

• The first metric is the dominance Hulburt's index:

$\delta = 100(n_1 + n_2)/N$

n₁: abundance of the dominant species
n₂: abundance of the second most abundant species
N: total abundance

To asses water quality the value <u>100- δ </u> is used as indicator of high water quality.

Theory: dominance phenomena occur in impacted areas



2. Second metric (Blooms)

The second metric is the dominant species frequency: how many times in the dataset of each station the dominant species abundance was >50%.

To asses water quality the value "<u>100 - frequency</u>" is used as indicator of high water quality.



3. Third metric (Menhinick index)

•The third metric is the Menhinick index:



- S : number of species
- N: total abundance

To reduce the error caused by deletion of multiple indeterminate taxa, a **correction factor** was introduced. For each sample D was multiplied by the taxa)correction factor: determinate/(determinate + indeterminate).



4. Fourth metric (Chlorophyll *a* geometric mean)

- ✓ Original data were **log-transformed**.
- \checkmark Outliers (mean±2.5std.dev.) were deleted and the mean recalculated.
- ✓ The final values were re-transformed.



<u>Reference conditions</u> are set considering the best conditions of considered water bodies.

to calculate the **Ecological Quality Ratio (EQR)**.



MPI = mean of the 4 EQRs



Multimetric Phytoplankton Index (MPI) **Ecological Quality Ratio** ranges between 0 and 1 and class boundaries are set as equidistant division of the EQR gradient High 0.81-1 Good 0.61-0.8 Moderate 0.41-0.6

Bad 0-0.2

Italian transitional systems were classified in the following **typologies** :

Poor 0.21-0.4

✓ restricted ;

✓ choked;



GEOGRAPHIC AND HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SHALLOW COASTAL LAGOONS Björn Kjerfve and K.E. Magill, 1989 Source: MARINE GEOLOGY Volume: 88 Issue: 3-4 Pages: 187-199 DOI: 10.1016/0025-3227(89)90097-2 Published: AUG 1989

Choked lagoons are characterized by one or more long and narrow entrance channels, long residence times, and dominant wind forcing.

Restricted lagoons usually exhibit two or more entrance channels or inlets, have a well-defined tidal circulation, are strongly influenced by winds, and are usually vertically mixed. Residence time are shorter than in choked lagoons.



Set up

From Facca et al., 2014

Venice Lagoon

Surface 550 kmq

high spatial heterogeneity,

various anthropogenic stressors:

industrial, agricultural discharges, tourism, fishing, hydromorphological intervention, naval traffic





Validation





Monthly samples in 8 stations in choked and restricted areas



Monthly samples in 11 stations in choked basins

For all observations phytoplankton taxonomic composition, salinity and nutrient and chlorophyll concentrations are available

Transitional Waters Bulletin TWB, Transit. Waters Bull. 7 (2013), n. 1, 64-76 ISSN 1825-229X, DOI 10.1285/i1825229Xv7n1p64 http://siba-ese.unisalento.it



Water quality evaluation in Mediterranean lagoons using the Multimetric Phytoplankton Index (MPI): Study cases from Sardinia

A.M. Bazzoni^{1*}, S. Pulina¹, B.M. Padedda¹, C.T. Satta¹, A. Lugliè¹, N. Sechi¹, C. Facca²

VIEW POINT

¹University of Sassari, Department of Science for Nature and Environmental Resources, via Piandanna 4, I-07100 Sassari, Italy. ²University Ca' Foscari, Department of Environmental Sciences, Informatics and Statistics, Dorsoduro 2137, I-30121 Venezia, Italy.

*Corresponding author: Phone: +39 079 213042; Fax: +39 079 233600; E-mail address: bazzoniam@uniss.it.





Validation









Validation



	MPI
SALINITY	0,46*
REACTIVE PHOSPHORUS	-0,52*

Fatt. 1 : 39.8%



Conclusion

- In restricted zones, water exchanges favour good conditions, whereas in industrial and urban areas moderate conditions were recorded.
- In choked zones, water conditions were more uniform.
- <u>Sardinia ponds</u> had bad/poor conditions.
- Salinity and reactive phosphorus appeared to be the main drivers.



Thank you for the attention

facca@unive.it