Genetic Association Study Between ESR1 and Temporomandibular Joint Internal Derangement

Ayça Dilara YILMAZ¹, Gülden EREŞ²

¹Ankara University Faculty of Dentistry, Molecular Biology Laboratory, Ankara, Turkey ²Ankara University Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Periodontology, Ankara, Turkey

PRESENTATION PLAN

THE TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINT

✓Temporomandibular Joint Disorders

✓ Temporomandibular Joint Internal Derangement (TMJ-ID)

✓ Susceptibility to TMJ

✓TMJ-ID-Anterior disc displacement with/without reduction

✓ Estrogen receptor α (ESR1)

AIM

MATERIALS AND METHODS / STATISTICS RESULTS

✓ Pvull polymorphism (rs2234693)

✓Xbal polymorphism (rs9340799)

✓ Genetic Distribution of polymorphisms

DISCUSSION CONCLUSION

THE TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINT

• Formed by the articulation of the mandible and the temporal bone of the cranium. It is located anteriorly to the tragus of the ear, on the lateral aspect of the face



Articulations
between three surfaces
the mandibular fossa,
articular tubercle,
the head of mandible

Oliver Jones, THE TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINT , January 27, 2017 http://teachmeanatomy.info/head/joints/temporomandibular/

THE TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINT



Yin CS, Lee YJ, Lee YJ (2007) Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies 11(4); 285-294

- This joint has a unique mechanism;
- ➤articular disc

two synovial joint cavities, each lined by a synovial membrane
 fibrocartilage

Temporomandibular Joint Disorders

- Complicated and poorly understood clinical conditions
- A number of symptoms including pain and limited jaw movement
- Caused by musculoskeletal and neuromuscular disorders,
 - masticatory musculature,
 - the temporomandibular joints,
 - and associated structures
- The etiology of TMDs may be complex
- The possible influence factors of TMDs; mechanical and/or psychic stresses, hormones,

genes,

ethnicity,

social status,

gender

Temporomandibular Internal Derangement (TMJ-ID)

- Imbalance of metabolic processes in the extracellular matrix (ECM) of the articular disc
- Tissue breakdown
- Articular disc positions of the joint to the mandibular condyle and the articular eminence are distorted (Emshoff et al., 2002).
- Observed in up to 80% of the temporomandibular joint disorder (TMD) patients



http://teachmeanatomy.info/head/joints/temporomandibular/

Susceptibility to TMJ

- The intensity of the painful symptoms appears to be greater in women for many anatomical locations, including the temporomandibular joints
- The susceptibility to TMDs: Women and adolescents have a higher risk, compared to men.
- Genetic factors (SNPs) play a significant role in the pathology of TMDs.
- The underlying mechanisms of TMDs remains largely unknown

TMJ-ID-Anterior disc displacement with/without reduction

TMJ-ID's two most prevalent types;

Anterior disc displacement with reduction (ADDWR): The displacement of the TMJ articular disc while the mouth is closed, which reduces its normal position with mouth opening

Anterior disc displacement without reduction (ADDWOR): The permanent dislocation of the disc that cannot reduce to its normal position

 Osteoarthritis (OA) (inflammatory) and osteoarthrosis (non-inflammatory) were proposed to be the underlying mechanisms of ID*

Coexistence of osteoarthrosis and ID:in one-third of the TMJ cases (Dimitroulis, 2005)

*Stegenga B, de Bont LG, Boering G (1992) Classification of temporomandibularjoint osteoarthrosis and internal derangement. 2.Specific diagnostic criteria. Cranio 10:107–116.

de Leeuw R, Boering G, Stegenga B, et al. (1995) Radiographic signs of temporomandibular joint osteoarthrosis and internal derangement 30 years after nonsurgical treatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 79:382–392.

Estrogen receptor α (ESR1)

•Estrogen was proposed as a potential mediator of degradative TMJ remodeling in animal

•ESR1receptors are known to be important regulators for skeletal growth and maturation

A significant association between single-nucleotide polymorphisms of ESR1 and symptoms of TMD or TMJ osteoarthritis in women was shown.

Previous genetic epidemiologic studies, which highlight the association between ERα polymorphism and osteoarthritis, also made it possible to speculate the role of the genetic component in dysregulation of the integrity of the TMJ and mandibular structures.

A genetic variation at the ERα could lead to significant modifications in the physiological role of estrogen and consequently in TMJ derangements.

Estrogen receptor α (ESR1)

- The biological activity of estrogen is mediated by specific receptors.
- ✓ The estrogen receptor;
- \checkmark a protein of the steroid receptors family
- \checkmark two forms: α and β

 \checkmark α receptor is in particular found in the intra-articular cartilage and osteocytes and plays a role of intracellular mediators regulator

 \checkmark In rats; α receptors found in synovial cells, articular disc stromal cells and chondrocytes of the TMJ

- ✓ In humans; estrogen receptors found in temporomandibular joint disc
- ✓ A greater proportion in women with TMD than in subjects without TMD

 \checkmark Few studies in the literature have studied the relationship of these polymorphisms to TMJ disorders, and none in TMJ-ID.

Estrogen receptor α (ESR1)

- ERα : chromosome 6q25.1
- 8 exons and 7 introns
- 2 common restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs): Xbal and Pvull
- ■The Xbal RFLP detects an A–G substitution at position 351 (-351int A/G; rs9340799)
- Pvull detects a T–C substitution at position 397 (-397int T/C: rs2234693)



chromosome 6q25.1, intron 1 containing the Pvu II and Xba I RFLPs

Adapted from The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, Interaction between Vitamin D receptor genotype and estrogen receptor alpha genotype influences vertebral fracture risk. 88(8): 3777–3784, 2003. Copyright 2003, The Endocrine Society.

AIM

The aim of this study was to investigate the association of ESR1

gene Xbal and Pvull polymorphisms with TMJ-ID disorder

Materials and Methods / Statistics

- Blood samples in 5ml EDTA tubes
- DNA extraction by standard proteinase K/phenol-chloroform method
- polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)

■3% agarose gel electrophoresis

•Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher exact tests were used to compare genotype and allele distributions between the study and control groups, combined ER α genoypes in TMJ-ID patients versus control group. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table1. Demographic charecteristics of study participants

	TMJ-ID patients	Healthy controls
	n (%)	n (%)
Female	38 (79.1)	33 (47.1)
Male	10 (20.9)	37 (52.9)
Age (average)	31.7 ±7.9	28.22 ±5.9

Pvull polymorphism (rs2234693)



3, 7, 11: PP (1300 bp) 9,10: Pp (1300 + 850 + 450 bp) 4, 5, 6, 8: pp (850 + 450 bp) 2 : uncut PCR product 1: φX 174 Marker

Xbal polymorphism (rs9340799)



7: XX (1300 bp) 3,9,10: Xx(1300 + 900 + 400 bp) 4, 5, 6, 8: xx (900 + 400 bp) 2: uncut PCR product 1: φX 174 Marker

RESULTS

Table 2. Distrubution of Pvull genotype and allel frequencies in TMJ-ID patients versus healthy controls

ESR1	Control Group	TMJ-ID Group	OR [CI]	P value	X ²
Pvull					
Genotype	n (%)	n (%)			
PP	21 (30)	12 (25)	1		
Рр	38 (54.3)	28 (58.3)	1.28 [0.5-3.05]	0.55	- 0.35
рр	11 (15.7)	8 (16.7)	1.27 [0.4-4.03]	0.68	
				0.82	
Allele				I	
Р	80 (57.1)	52 (54.2)	1		
р	60 (42.9)	44 (45.8)	1.12 [0.66-1.90]		
	1			0.65	0.2

Table 3. Distrubution of Pvull genotype and allele frequencies in ADDWR patients versus healthy controls, in ADDWOR patients versus healthy controls

ESR1	Control Group	ADDWR Group	OR [CI]	P value	χ ²
Pvull					
Genotype	n (%)	n (%)			
PP	21 (30)	5 (21.7))	1		
Рр	38 (54.3)	13 (56.6)	1.43 [0.45-4.58]	0.53	0.8
рр	11 (15.7)	5 (21.7)	1.90 [0.45-8.04]	0.37	
				0.65	
Allele					
Р	80 (57.1)	23 (50)	1		
р	60 (42.9)	23 (50)	1.33 [0.68-2.60]		
				0.39	0.7
	Control Group	ADDWOR Group	OR [CI]	P value	χ²
	Control Group	ADDWOR Group	OR [CI]	<i>P</i> value	X²
Genotype	Control Group	ADDWOR Group	OR [CI]	P value	X ²
Genotype PP	Control Group n (%) 21 (30)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28)	OR [CI]	P value	X ²
Genotype PP Pp	Control Group n (%) 21 (30) 38 (54.3)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28) 15 (60)	OR [CI] 1 1.18 [0.41-3.36]	P value 0.75	X ²
Genotype PP Pp pp	Control Group n (%) 21 (30) 38 (54.3) 11 (15.7)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28) 15 (60) 3 (12)	OR [CI] 1 1 1.18 [0.41-3.36] 0.81 [0.17-3.80]	P value 0.75 0.79	X ²
Genotype PP Pp pp	Control Group n (%) 21 (30) 38 (54.3) 11 (15.7)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28) 15 (60) 3 (12)	OR [CI] 1 1 1.18 [0.41-3.36] 0.81 [0.17-3.80]	P value 0.75 0.79 0.85	X ² 0.3
Genotype PP Pp pp Allele	Control Group n (%) 21 (30) 38 (54.3) 11 (15.7)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28) 15 (60) 3 (12)	OR [CI] 1 1 1.18 [0.41-3.36] 0.81 [0.17-3.80]	P value 0.75 0.79 0.85	X ² 0.3
Genotype PP Pp pp Allele P	Control Group n (%) 21 (30) 38 (54.3) 11 (15.7) 80 (57.1)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28) 15 (60) 3 (12) 29 (58)	OR [CI] 1 1.18 [0.41-3.36] 0.81 [0.17-3.80] 1	P value 0.75 0.79 0.85	X ² 0.3
Genotype PP Pp pp Allele P p	Control Group n (%) 21 (30) 38 (54.3) 11 (15.7) 80 (57.1) 60 (42.9)	ADDWOR Group n (%) 7 (28) 15 (60) 3 (12) 29 (58) 21 (42)	OR [CI] 1 1.18 [0.41-3.36] 0.81 [0.17-3.80] 1 0.96 [0.5-1.85]	P value 0.75 0.79 0.85	X ² 0.3

Table 4. Distrubution of Pvull genotype and allele frequencies in TMJ-ID women versus healthy women

ESR1 Pyull	Control Women	TMJ-ID Women	OR [CI]	<i>P</i> value	χ²
i van	Women	Women		Value	
Genotype	n (%)	n (%)			
PP	9 (27.3)	11 (28.9)	1		0.00
Рр	17 (51.5)	22 (57.9	1.05 [0.35-3.13]	0.91	0.83
рр	7 (21.2)	5 (13.2)	0.58 [0.13-2.48]	0.46	
		•		0.66	
Allele					
Р	35 (49.3)	44 (57.9)	1		
р	36 (50.7)	32 (42.1)	0.70 [0.36-1.35]		
				0.29	1.09

Table 5. Distrubution of Xball genotype and allele frequencies in TMJ-ID patients versus healthy controls

ESR1 Xbal	Control Group	TMJ-ID Group	OR [CI]	<i>P</i> value	X ²
Genotype	n (%)	n (%)			
XX	25 (35.7)	19 (39.6)	1		- 0.19
Xx	36 (51.4)	23 (47.9)	0.84 [0.38-1.85]	0.66	
хх	9 (12.9)	6 (12.5)	0.87 [0.26-2.89]	0.82	
		- 1		0.9	
Allele					- !
Х	86 (61.4)	61 (63.5)	1		
x	54 (38.6)	35 (36.5)	0.91[0.53-1.56]		
		•		0.7	0.1

Table 6. Distrubution of Xball genotype and allele frequencies in ADDWR patients versus healthy controls, in ADDWOR patients versus healthy controls

ESR1	Control Group	ADDWR	OR [CI]	<i>P</i> value	χ²
Xbal					
Genotype	n(%)	n(%)			
XX	25 (35.7)	6 (26.08)	1		
Хх	36 (51.4)	13 (56.52)	1.5 [0.5-4.49]	0.46	0.82
XX	9 (12.9)	4 (17.4)	1.85 [0.42-8.1]	0.4	
				0.6	
Allele					
Х	86 (61.4)	25 (54.3)	1		
X	54 (38.6)	21 (45.7)	1.33 [0.68-2.62]		
				0.39	0.72
Genotype	Control Group	ADDWOR	OR [CI]	P value	X ²
XX	25 (35.7)	13 (52)	1		
Xx	36 (51.4)	10 (40)	0.53 [0.2-1.40]	0.2	2.09
xx	9 (12.9)	2 (8)	0.42 [0.08-2.27]	0.3	
				0.35	
Allele					
X	86 (61.4)	36 (72)	1		
x	54 (38.6)	14 (28)	0.61 [0.30-1.25]		
				0.18	1.79

Table 7. Distrubution of Xbal genotype and allele frequencies in TMJ-ID women versus healthy women

ESR1 Xbal	Control Women	TMJ-ID Women	OR [CI]	P value	χ²
Genotype	n(%)	n(%)			
XX	12 (36.4)	18 (47.4)	1		1 29
Хх	15 (45.4)	16 (42.1)	0.71[0.25-1.96]	0.5	
ХХ	6 (18.2)	4 (10.5)	0.44 [0.10-1.91]	0.44	
				0.52	

Table 8. Combined genotype distribution of ESR1 polymorphisms

ESR1		TMJ-ID	Control	TMJ-ID	Control	TMJ-ID	Control
		group	group	group	group	group	group
				Xb	al		
		X	X	Х	Хх	x	Х
Pvull	PP	10	19	2	2	0	0
	Рр	7	6	21	32	0	0
	рр	2	0	0	2	6	9

The values represent the observed number of subjects with the combined genotypes of Pvull and Xbal RFLPs for the TMJ-ID patients and controls.

Table 9. Frequencies of haplotypes and combined ER α genoypes in TMJ-ID patients versus

control group

ESR1	TMJ-ID Group	Control	OR	P value	X ²
Haplotype		Group			
PX	50 (54.3)	78 (56.53)	1		
рх	33 (35.9)	52 (37.69)	1.01 [0.57-1.77]	0.97	
рХ	7 (7.6)	6 (4.34)	0.54 [0.17-1.72]	0.3	
Px	2 (2.2)	2 (1.44)	0.64 [0.08-4.69]	0.65	
				0.72	1.3
Genotype					
РХрх	21 (45.66)	32 (47.05)	1		
PXPX	10 (21.74)	19 (27.94)	1.26 [0.48-3.2]	0.64	0.21
рхрх	6 (13.04)	9 (13.24)	0.98 [0.3-3.17]	0.97	0.0007
РХрХ	7 (15.22)	6 (8.83)	0.56 [0.16-1.9]	0.35	0.86
PXPx	2 (4.34)	2 (2.94)	0.65 [0.08-5.02]	0.68	0.16
				0.81	1.56

The values represent the observed number of combined genotypes of ESR1

DISCUSSION

- Xbal and Pvull of ER α prevalence in patients with (n=42) and without (n=36) TMJ was studied by RFLP technique. 5 different ERα genotypes were found in both groups and TMJ higher prevalence of the polymorphisms (statistically non-significant samples had prevalence of ER α). The authors suggested ER α polymorphism as a predisposing factor for degenerative joint disease in temporomandibular joint cartilage deterioration (Stemig et al.,2015)
- In a study investigating ERa polymorphism influence in 76 female symptomatic TMJ-OA patients by direct haplotyping procedure. Px haplotype was associated with smaller facial axis angle and mandibular body lenght in the carriers (Lee, 2006).
- Investigating the association of ER α polymorphisms in women with TMJ disorders (100) with chronic pain, 100 with signs of TMJ disorder but no pain), GC haplotype of the Xbal locus displayed high risk factors of 3.2 and 2.5 in the painful TMJD group vs. the control group and in the TMJD no pain versus the control group, leading to the conclusion that the presence of [GC] haplotype in the Xbal locus might be increasing the susceptibility of women to develop TMJD (Dasilva 2009).
- In a study investigating the association between Pvull and Xbal polymorphisms and pain susceptibility in female symptomatic temporomandibular joint (TMJ) osteoarthritis (OA) patients, higher risk of moderate or severe pain was found in TMJ OA patients carrying the PX haplotype compared to those without the PX haplotype. The authors suggested ERa possible association with pain susceptibility in female TMJ OA patients, (no significant differences in genotype and haplotype frequencies were found between the patient and control groups). 26

DISCUSSION

- 1. Although statistically not significant having the Pp and pp genotype of Pvull polymorphism among TMJ-ID patients and ADDWR cases compared to the healthy individuals is a risk factor of 1.27-1.90 for developing the disorder.
- 2. p (Pvull) allele is a risk factor of 1.33 in ADDWR cases
- 3. Although statistically not significant having the Xx and xx genotype of Xbal polymorphism among TMJ-ID patients and ADDWR cases compared to the healthy individuals is a risk factor of 1.5-1.85 for developing the disorder.
- 4. x (Xbal) allele is a risk factor of 1.33 in ADDWR cases
- 5. Genotype and allele distributions and odds ratios were not significant in TMJ-ID women compared to healthy women in both polymorphisms
- 6. We found 5 different ERα haplotypes in TMJ-ID patients and the control groups
- 7. Frequencies of haplotypes in TMJ-ID patients versus the control group did not have significant risk factors except the PXPX genotype with a 1.26 odds ratio.

CONCLUSION

✓The Pvull and Xbal polymorphic sites are located on intron 1, and the functional consequences of these sites is unknown.

✓ However, polymorphisms on introns could affect mRNA production, as these sites may contain transcriptional regulatory sequences.

 \checkmark Similarly, the PvuII–Xbal polymorphic sites on the first intron of the ER α gene could influence gene expression.

✓ Other polymorphic sites in the estrogen receptor gene might similarly influence TMJ disorder predisposition

✓ The finding that Pvull and Xbal polymorphisms is a risk for developing TMJ-ID disorder needs to be further evaluated by increasing the case and controls numbers. A polymorphism in the ESR1 gene may be associated to TMJ-ID.

 \checkmark In the event that an association can be established, these marker alleles are supposed to be in linkage with a truly functional allele elsewhere in the gene.

✓ For improving the treatment of TMJ disorders and potentially other painful conditions, a genetic marker that would predict treatment efficacy with a high degree of success would add a very powerful approach toward.

THANK YOU