## \* The evaluation of a rhythmic exercise program for PD

Audun Myskja, MD, PhD, NMT Advisor, National Competence Center for Culture, Health and Care sciences;

Project leader,

Norwegian Parkinson Union



#### \* Rhtythmic music: Regulate attention, sensory involvement (Myskja, 2012)



#### \*Different types of music involve different brain regions (Thaut, 2009)



\*Vaynman S, Gomez-Pinilla F. License to run: exercise impacts functional plasticity in the intact and injured central nervous system by using neurotrophins. Neurorehabilitation Neural Repair 2005;19:283-95.

\*Li F et al. Tai Chi and Postural Stability in Patients with Parkinson's Disease. N Engl J Med 2012; 366:511-519.



- \*Rossignol S, Melvill-Jones G (1976). Audiospinal influences in man studied by the Hreflex and its possible role in rhythmic movement synchronized to sound. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 41, 83-92.
- \*Thaut, M. H. (2006). Rhythm, music and the brain - scientific foundations and clinical applications. New York: Routledge.

### \*Rhythmic musicbased exercise

- \*Nombelaa C et al. Can rhythm influence Parkinson's disease? Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 2013
- \*Arias P. Cudeiro J. Effect of rhythmic auditory stimulation on gait in Parkinsonian patients with and without freezing of gait. PLOS One 2010

### \*Rhythmic auditory stimulation



### \*Cueing: Auditorymotor pathways





#### RAS – regulate mood/arousal

### \* Spinothalamic reflex: E-motion



#### \*Rhythmic exercise program Levanger region, Norway Norwegian Parkinson Union

#### \*2011-16 (ongoing)

\*n=43 2011 Levanger n=20; Oslo region n=23); n=40 2015 Levanger n=23; Oslo region n=17)
\*Age 69.2 yrs (53-83), M/F 63/37 %, 5,7 yrs since diagnosis (0-26 yrs), H & Y 2.2 mean
\*1 x/w; 1 hr duration \*Flexible approach to exercise plan: Fixed intial exercise program; dialogic evolution of program:

- \*45 min movements continually less effect, compliance and motivation than movements, voice exercises and relaxation
- \*Rhythmic movements (RAS principles) involving large joints, diagonal, sagittal/frontal/transversal plane
- \*Series of 14 vertical, 12 horizontal, DVD and instructor

## \*Exercise principles

\*Planned controlled study; attrition of control group

\*Mixed evaluation methods, quantitative and qualitative, 1/yr

\*Quantitative: H & Y, UPDRS (cognitive, motor, ADL), PDQ-39, FES-1, TUG, Senior fitness, MADRS, Herth Hope Index, Motivation self-rating, thorax excursion

\*Qualitative: Interviews (EPICURE; Malterud et al.), video analysis, peer group, professional group



- \*General mobility stable (TUG, PDQ-39, 2 min knee elevation), UPDRS decrease (statistically nonsignificant)
- \*Discrepancy between objective tests and subjective evaluation: Increased fear of falling
- \*Reduced pain and fatigue
- \*Depression low; improved mental state (MADRS, PDQ-39, Herth; interviews)
- \*Voice exercise program stabilizes voice function

# \*Preliminary results

- \*Attrition prevented when program individually adjusted, avoiding rigid structure and lack of structure
- \*FES1 increased, but not incidence of falls
- \*Individually adjusted exercises prevent falls
- \*Group participation improves wellbeing, activity and motivation
- \*Non-participants rapid progression of symptoms
- \*Individual variations in progression linked to degree of home training

## \*Points to notice

\*Rhythmic exercise stabilizes gait (stride length, cadence, symmetry), mobility, and flexibility

- \*Rhythmic exercise may decrease pain, fatigue and prevent falls
- \*A flexible and dialogic evolution of exercise programs prevents attrition and improves long-term exercise motivation
- \*Group training improves long-term exercise motivation and may contribute to coping with long term disease
- \*A low incidence of depression and other mental/emotional complaints may be linked to group exercise program

