

The Impact of Rerouting Cancer Diagnoses from Emergency Presentations to GP referrals: Evidence from a Population-Based study

Mauro Laudicella¹, Brendan Walsh², Elaine Burns, Paolo Li Donni³ Peter C Smith⁴

¹ School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, UK

² Social Research Division, Economic and Social Research Institute, Ireland

³ Medical School, Imperial College London, UK

⁴ Department of Economics, University of Palermo, Italy

⁵ Business School, Imperial College London, UK

Research Question

- What is the impact on costs of care of re-routing a patient diagnosis from Emergency Presentation to GP Referral?
- What is the impact on survival?

Background & Motivations

- Patients diagnosed with cancer accounts for 6% of the NHS budget. Colorectal, breast, prostate, and lung cancer cost £1.5 billion annually in hospital care alone (Laudicella et al, 2016).
- Patients diagnosed through Emergency Presentation have lower survivals.
- Little is known about the cost implications of re-routing patients' diagnoses from Emergency Presentation to GP/Two Week Wait (TWW) Referral.
- Evidence on costs and health outcomes can support Clinical Commissioners in the efficient allocation of resources.

Methods

Data & Patients

- Patients age 18+ diagnosed in England in 2006-2009 with colorectal (108,551), breast (136,824), prostate (113,800) or lung (111,7836) cancer.
- Data on costs of inpatient and outpatient care from the National Schedules of Reference Costs were matched to data from the National Cancer Data Repository and Hospital Episodes Statistics.

Outcome measures

- Patients' risk adjusted costs one year before and five years after diagnosis
- Patients' risk adjusted survivals five years after diagnosis

Empirical Approach

- RtD were obtained from Elliss-Brookes et al (2012).
- Risk adjusted costs and survivals were estimated for patients diagnosed after a GP/TWW referral and patients diagnosed after an Emergency presentation.
- Total patients that can potentially be re-routed in every CCG were identified by comparing CCGs relative performance.
- Costs and Survivals were calculated for a simulated scenario where all CCGs achieved the same share of emergency diagnosis as the top 10% performing CCGs.

Estimation Strategy

- Alternative RtD are likely to have an impact on costs through two distinct channels: by increasing the intensity of the treatment and by extending the life of the patients.
- Moreover, predicting patient-level costs is challenging due to skewness of the cost distribution, right censoring, and accelerated cost accumulation at the end of life.
- The Basu-Manning (BM) estimator was used (Basu & Manning, 2010) to measure the effect on costs of re-routing patients from Emergency to GP/TWW referrals.
- The BM estimator is a three part model that allows for the issues described above and for decomposing the overall effect on costs into the part due to variation in survivals and the part due to variation in intensity of treatment.
- Differences in Survivals at five years between Emergency and GP/TWW referral estimated using Wiebull Survival Models.

Cost Analysis: Re-routing Diagnoses from Emergency to GP/TWW Referrals

	Colorectal	Breast	Prostate	Lung
Conversion Rate (2014/15)	0.043	0.078	0.153	0.186
Costs per diagnostic test	£420	£158	£157	£127
Costs per converted case (a)	£9,242	£1,883	£874	£559
Variation in Costs 1 year pre diagnosis (b)	£-546	£-497	£-887	£-534
Variation in Survival Costs 5 year post diagnosis (C)	£1,128	£712	£616	£907
Variation in Intensity Costs 5 year post diagnosis (D)	£-1,012	£-628	£-1,582	£-577
Total Costs 5 year post diagnosis (a+b+c+d)	£8,812	£1,469	£-979	£356
Variation in Probability of Surviving up to 5 year	0.359	0.512	0.408	0.149
Total Cost per patient Surviving up to 5 year	£24,546	£2,870	£-2,399	£2,388
Years of life saved up to 5 years post diagnosis (e)	1.43	1.54	1.24	0.59
Total Cost per year of life saved (e)	£6,162	£954	£-789	£603

* Re-routing prostate cancer patients from Emergency Presentation to GP/TWW Referral is **Cost Saving**.

† 3 Years Costs and Survivals for Lung Cancer.

Conclusion

- This study provides evidence on the effect of costs and survivals of re-rerouting patients' diagnoses from Emergency Presentations to GP/TWW Referrals.
- Cancers diagnosed through Emergency Presentation have higher costs and poorer survivals rates than GP/TWW Referrals.
- Redirecting diagnoses from emergency presentation to GP referral appears an achievable target that can produce large benefits to patients against modest additional costs to the National Health System.

References

- Laudicella M, Walsh B Burns E Smith PC. Cost of care for cancer patients in England: evidence from population-based patient-level data. *Br. J. Cancer* 2016;114:1286-92
- Basu A, Manning W.G. Estimating lifetime or episode-of-illness costs under censoring. *Health Econ* 2010;19,1010-28.
- Elliss-Brookes L, McPhail S, Ives A, Greenslade M, Shelton J, Hiom S, Richards M. Routes to diagnosis for cancer - determining the patient journey using multiple routine data sets. *Br. J. Cancer* 2012;107,1220-26.

This study is part of a research project sponsored by Macmillan Cancer Support.

**WE ARE
MACMILLAN.
CANCER SUPPORT**



**CITY UNIVERSITY
LONDON**