

# Gender in Families: A Comparison of the Gendered Division of Child care in Rural and Urban China

## Sibo Zhao, Ph.D. Central University of Finance and Economics, CHINA

# Background

Studies in the U.S. literature have documented that contemporary families have become increasingly egalitarian, as indicated by mothers' growing full-time labor force participation and fathers' growing involvement with housework, including child care. Although the gendered division of child care in the family is well documented in Western studies, less research has been done in China. Have the economic reforms in China increased gender equality in the division of child care as women have benefitted from increased bargaining power inside the household? Or, has the division of child care become even more unequal as women are being pushed out of labor force and are returning home? I intend to explore the pattern of the gendered division of child care in the context of China, a communist country that is undergoing a dramatic social-economic transition.

# **Objectives**

The main research objectives are (1) to determine how child care time is divided differently between husband and wife in urban and rural sectors, and (2) to determine how this division is influenced by factors such as one's own or spouse's employment status, educational achievement, and earnings.

## Methods

#### Data and sample selection:

I used the China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS), an ongoing international collaborative project between the Carolina Population Center at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine in Beijing. This research used four waves (2004, 2006, 2009 and 2011) of data because the questions asked about time allocation before 2000 are different from those used after 2004. Omitting observations with missing information, a total number of 397 households (397 wives and 397 husbands) were included in the sample, with 274 from rural sectors and 123 from urban sectors (See Table 1).

**Instruments and Recoded Measurements:** 

Child care time; Household registration; Full time job status; Education and relative education; Personal income and relative income; Gender; Age; and other demographic characteristics.

## Results

As expected, in both urban and rural areas, wives generally spent more hours with children than husbands. Compared to rural parents, urban men and women spent more time with their children (15.7 and 27.4, respectively), and the ratio of wife's child care time to husband's child care time was smaller in the urban sector than in the rural sector.

Predictor	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		Model 5	
Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status	B -1.857* 1.143	S.E. 0.761 0.808		S.E.	В	S.E.	В	S.E.	В	S.E.
Wife's education years			-0.103	0.161						
Husband's education years			0.040	0.148						
Positive women					-0.066	0.343				
Positive men					-0.095	0.294				
Same education					0.995	1.257				
Wife's log-income							-0.711	0.624		
Husband's log-income							0.373	0.525		
Wife's relative log-income									0.528	0.742
Husband's relative log-income									1.668**	0.844
Same relative log-income									-0.45	1.344
Constant	3.78***	0.661	4.966***	1.322	4.109***	1.098	5.532**	2.016	3.820***	0.533
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.028		0.00				0.005		0.017	
	0.026		0.02		0.01		0.005		0.017	
ANOVA Tests  Table 5 Linear regressio	3.135**	lered div	0.214	l care wi	0.897	depende	0.652	s in the u	1.57	
Table 5 Linear regressio	3.135**	lered div	0.214	1 care wi	0.897	depende	0.652	s in the u	1.57	
Table 5 Linear regressio Predictor Wife's fulltime job status	3.135** n of the gend	S.E. 1.532 1.532	0.214	l care wi	0.897 ith major in	depende S.E.	0.652 ent variable	s in the u	1.57 rban sector	S.E.
Table 5 Linear regressio  Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status  Husband's fulltime job status	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 ision of child Model 2		0.897 ith major in Model 3		0.652 ent variable Model 4		1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Table 5 Linear regressio  Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status  Husband's fulltime job status  Wife's education years  Husband's education years	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 ision of child Model 2 B	S.E.	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E.	0.652 ent variable Model 4		1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Table 5 Linear regressio  Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status  Husband's fulltime job status  Wife's education years  Husband's education years  Positive women	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299	0.652 ent variable Model 4		1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Table 5 Linear regression  Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status  Husband's fulltime job status  Wife's education years  Husband's education years  Positive women  Positive men	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299 0.394	0.652 ent variable Model 4		1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Table 5 Linear regression  Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status  Husband's fulltime job status  Wife's education years  Husband's education years  Positive women  Positive men	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299	0.652 ent variable Model 4		1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299 0.394	0.652 ent variable Model 4		1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education Wife's log-income	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299 0.394	0.652 ent variable Model 4 B	S.E.	1.57 rban sector Model 5	S.E.
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education Wife's log-income Husband's log-income Wife's relative log-income	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299 0.394	0.652 ent variables Model 4 B	S.E. 0.889	1.57 rban sector  Model 5 B	2.445
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education Wife's log-income Husband's log-income Husband's relative log-income Husband's relative log-income	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299 0.394	0.652 ent variables Model 4 B	S.E. 0.889	1.57 rban sector  Model 5 B  0.244266	2.445 1.003
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education Wife's log-income Husband's log-income Husband's relative log-income Husband's relative log-income	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188	0.897 ith major in Model 3 B	S.E. 0.299 0.394	0.652 ent variables Model 4 B	S.E. 0.889	1.57 rban sector  Model 5 B	2.445 1.003
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education Wife's log-income Husband's log-income Wife's relative log-income Husband's relative log-income Same relative log-income	3.135** n of the gend Model 2 B -1.429	S.E. 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008	S.E. 0.188 0.193	0.897 ith major in  Model 3  B  -0.156 -0.222 -1.495	S.E. 0.299 0.394 1.396	0.652 ent variables Model 4 B	S.E. 0.889 1.179	1.57 rban sector  Model 5 B  0.244266	2.445 1.003 1.558
Predictor  Wife's fulltime job status Husband's fulltime job status  Wife's education years Husband's education years Positive women Positive men Same education  Wife's log-income Husband's log-income Wife's relative log-income Husband's relative log-income	3.135** n of the gend  Model 2 B -1.429 3.116*	S.E. 1.532 1.532	0.214 dision of child Model 2 B 0.008 -0.011	S.E. 0.188 0.193	0.897 ith major in  Model 3  B  -0.156 -0.222 -1.495	S.E. 0.299 0.394 1.396	0.652 ent variables Model 4 B -0.117 -2.041*	S.E. 0.889 1.179	1.57 rban sector  Model 5 B  0.244266 2.712*	2.445 1.003 1.558 0.612

According to the Regression model, I found that wife's fulltime job status had a significant negative effect on the ratio of wives' child care time to husbands' child care time in rural sectors but not in urban sectors.

Second, in the rural sector, I found that the greater husbands' relative contribution to the household income, the less equal the household division of child care (B=1.668). In the urban sector, urban men with higher income did not try to bargain out of child care, but instead, they shared child care time more equally than did men with lower income.

Third, in urban areas, when the husband and the wife have the same amount of earnings, this was associated with a less equal gendered division of child care with the wife spending more time with their children. No such finding is found in rural areas. The result is consistent with the doing gender perspective.

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

This study set out to understand the gendered division of child care time between spouses in urban and rural sectors in China over the past decade, and how one's own or spouse's employment status, educational achievement, and earnings impact the division.

This research has applied the relative resources theory, doing gender perspective, and gender attitudes model to analyze different patterns in the gendered division of child care in urban and rural China, suggesting that, in addition to the wife's own employment status, the husband's employment status as well as income have played important roles in influencing the labor division inside the household.

In terms of the gendered division of child care, although it was more equal among urban couples than rural couples, the gender gap in child care may be widening in the urban sector due to increased women's child care time but narrowing in the rural sector due to decreased rural women's child care time.

# Acknowledgements

This research uses data from China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS). I thank the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, China Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Carolina Population Center (P2C HD050924, T32 HD007168), the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the NIH (R01-HD30880, DK056350, R24 HD050924, and R01-HD38700) and the NIH Fogarty International Center (D43 TW009077, D43 TW007709) for financial support for the CHNS data collection and analysis files from 1989 to 2015 and future surveys, and the China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Ministry of Health for support for CHNS 2009, Chinese National Human Genome Center at Shanghai since 2009, and Beijing Municipal Center for Disease Prevention and Control since 2011.

## References

Chen, F. (2004). The division of labor between generations of women in rural China. Social Science Research, 33(4), 557-580.

Chen, F. (2005). Employment transitions and the household division of labor in China. *Social Forces*, 84(2), 831-851.

Du, F. (2008). Family Structure, Child Care and Women's Labor Supply: Evidence from urban China. World Economic Papers, 2, 1-12.

Du, F., & Dong, X.-y. (2009). Why do women have longer durations of unemployment than men in post-restructuring urban China? *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 33(2), 233-252.

Sayer, L. C. (2005). Gender, time and inequality: Trends in women's and men's paid work, unpaid work and free time. *Social forces, 84*(1), 285-303.

Short, S. E., Chen, F., Entwisle, B., & Fengying, Z. (2002). Maternal work and child care in China: a multi-method analysis. *Population and Development Review, 28*(1), 31-57.

Xie, Y. (2013). Gender and Family in Contemporary China: Population studies center.

Yuan, H. (2010). Migrant Family's Choices of Mother's Employment and Childcare: Empirical Evidence from Beijing. In X.-y. Dong & S. Cook (Eds.), *Gender Equality and China's Economic Transformation:*Informal Employment and Care Provision (pp. 192-205). Beijing: Economic Science Press.

Zhang, Y., Hannum, E., & Wang, M. (2008). Gender-based employment and income differences in urban China: Considering the contributions of marriage and parenthood. *Social Forces, 86*(4), 1529-1560.